This course is a total immersion in the New Product Development Process, from the sourcing of new product ideas and innovation through to new product sales forecasting. The focus will be on collective learning and sharing of best industry practices, what works, what doesn’t and why. Numerous case histories from bulk pack and from the instructor’s experience (yes, we will discuss a lot of Campbell’s Soup new products and “New Coke”) will be used to illustrate key issues.

Guest lectures from leading practitioners will further the objective of bringing the marketplace into the classroom. Current and recent David Ogilvy Award new product winners will be presented via DVD

Reading Materials

1. Course Pack, available from Wharton Reprographics
2. (Optional) Students are encouraged to “keep up” with current new product events by reading the WSJ, Ad Age, Business Week, Adweek, etc.

Course Schedule

A course schedule is attached along with the team project. Our journey will take us from strategic planning to concept generation, evaluation systems, product or service protocols/specifications and commercialization. There is no textbook as we will utilize a bulk pack and a myriad of past and current case histories. New Product Development is a dynamic process—rules of thumb and history are helpful, but creative thinking, timing, and “serendipity” also play a strong role.

Everyone is expected to contribute to class discussions. It is especially important to read and prepare discussion issues for the case studies prior to class. I am comfortable calling for participation from anyone at any time—so if you are not prepared, please let me know before class to avoid embarrassment.

I want to get to know you—and not just for grade purposes. Please use the name cards regularly in class and take the same seat in class. This is a “compressed” course of only 12! classes; therefore, missing classes can be a major challenge.
Grading

Grades will be weighed as follows:

- Team project (includes peer “bonus”/”penalty”) 50%
- Individual writing assignment 30%
- Class Participation 20%

Class Schedule to follow
Marketing 221
New Products Outline

1. Strategy
   - Business Strategy – Porter
   - Strategic Planning
   - Opportunity Identification
   - New Product “Stages and Gates”

2. Concept Generation
   - Innovation
   - Sources of Ideas
   - Tools
     - Perceptual maps/Gap analysis
     - Problem tracking
     - Conjoint/choice modeling
     - Ideation/Creativity: Synectics, Doug Hall’s “Jump Start Your Brain,” Others

3. Positioning, Concept Screening, and Evaluation
   - Positioning
   - How to write a concept
   - Concept screening/optimization
   - Concept evaluation

4. Product Development and Evaluation
   - Product protocol/Design
   - Product optimization/testing

   - Packaging
   - Pricing
   - Communication/advertising

6. Pre-launch Forecasting
   - Simulated test market
   - Test markets
7. Launch

- Tracking

8. New Product Issues

- Speed to market
- Case studies (3M, Lipitor, Frito Lay, New Coke, Snackwells and many others)
- The new products manager
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Topics (s)</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Cases/Presentations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.09/04</td>
<td>Introduction, Definitions</td>
<td>BP1, 2, pg. 1-40</td>
<td>“Why New Products Fail”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.09/09</td>
<td>Strategy/Strategic Planning</td>
<td>BP 3, 4, WebCafe Pg. 41 –90, 557-563</td>
<td>Snackwells, pg.479-481 Teams formed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opportunity Identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.09/11</td>
<td>Sources of Ideas, Problem Tracking</td>
<td>WebCafe Pg 91-144,489-493, 525-530</td>
<td>3M Pg.482-493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stages and Gates, Trends</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.09/16</td>
<td>Ideation/Creativity</td>
<td>Pg. 145-230</td>
<td>Nexium, Absolut, pg.511,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.03/31</td>
<td>Positioning, Marketing research Tools, Qualitative, Quantitative</td>
<td>WebCafe Pg. 231-289</td>
<td>Nintendo, PS3 pg 368, 501-503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.04/02</td>
<td>The Concept – writing, developing, screening, evaluating</td>
<td>WebCafe</td>
<td>Lipitor, “Youth Pill” Pg. 494-499 Colgate Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 04/09</td>
<td>Commercial Development, Package, Price, Name, Promotion</td>
<td>BP9 Pg.317-380,</td>
<td>Packaging Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.04/14</td>
<td>Guest, Lisa Walker, New Business Director, Campbell’s Soup</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.04/21</td>
<td>Team Presentations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.04/23</td>
<td>Team Presentations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Team Project Description

Project Definition

Develop a detailed product/service concept and preliminary business plan in one of the following markets:

- Financial services or other service market
- New beverage, food product or health or beauty aid
- High tech/communications product/service
- New toy/game
- Health and beauty Aid

Each proposal should be supported and a solid evidence of:

- Strategic analysis of the category
- Creative brainstorming
- Primary and secondary research including concept screening and/or evaluation
- Positioning statement/formula and a “Concept Board”
- Year one (1) marketing plan and pro-forma P&L

Objectives

Obtain a hands on experience in the total new product development process – from new product strategy development to idea generation, marketing research, positioning, sales projection, advertising, and preliminary financial planning. Prepare the plan as if it were to be presented to a venture capital firm for financing or the owner/company of the brand you are launching. A “personal progress” sheet is attached. It is optional, but if turned in at the beginning and end of the course could positively affect your class participation grade. A team member/peer assessment sheet is also attached.

Schedule

You should form group teams of 5-6 members. Key milestone dates include:

Week 1: Project briefing. By the second class, each group must submit a list of members and the name of the group coordinator. It is suggested that each group designate an idea coordinator, a market research coordinator, and economic/financial coordinator and a presentation written report coordinator.

Week 2: Meet with group; assign responsibilities, brainstorm about the category/problem/opportunity. Prepare a New Product Development Strategy Charter for your team (use Coca Cola format).

Week 3: Meet with group. Submit your strategy charter. Continue idea generation. Begin to write concept statements/boards. Attach innovative concepts and ideas to your charter if you have some. Begin secondary research and design primary research.
**Week 4-5:** Complete research, analyze results (preliminary concept screens/evaluations – telephone, focus groups, in house testing with students, etc.). Write positioning statement/formula. Begin preparation of final business report/presentation.

**Week 6:** Finalize presentation/report. The focus should be to sell the sponsor/client. The report should be typed, double spaced, no more than twelve (12) pages, not including tables, exhibits.

**Week 7:** Present on Monday or Wednesday. Report due in last class.

Report format suggestions:

- Executive summary (1 page)
- Product positioning statement (1/2 page)
- Concept board or statement (1 page)
- Technical analysis (costs, production process if indicated (1-1½ pages)
- Market analysis (3 pages)
  - Consumer analysis
  - Competitive analysis
  - Market potential
- Marketing plan (up to 3 pages) (price, distribution, advertising, promotion, media, long range strategy)
- Financial analysis (sales forecast, preliminary P&L, risk analysis
- Appendices
Assessing Your Progress in New Product Development

Rate yourself on the following items from 0 = poor to 10 = excellent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Goals</th>
<th>Start of Course</th>
<th>Mid-Course</th>
<th>End of Course</th>
<th>Where will you be at the end of the course?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Where are you now?”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. I could manage a venture group. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
2. I can aid the creative process in a group. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
3. I know the key factors related to developing a successful new product. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
4. I know how to forecast demand for a new product. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
5. I know how to evaluate a venture proposal. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
6. I can prepare an effective venture proposal. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
7. I know how to structure a new product development program. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
8. I am good at formal planning. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
9. I could convince people to give me venture capital. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
10. I know how to design a product. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
11. I know how to examine legal and ethical aspects related to products. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
12. I know how to do research to assess a new product proposal. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
13. I know how to orally present a research report. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________
14. I know how to write an effective management report. __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________

Totals: __________________ __________________ __________________ __________________

Your Name (please print) __________________ Fall 2006
Team Member Evaluation Form

This is a confidential evaluation form for use by the professor. Please indicate the number or grade intervals above (+) or below (-) the group mean that you feel this person’s grade should be. The averages must be zero. Thus, if the group averages was B+ and you assign a +1 to “Sally” and a –1 to “Jim,” Sally would get an A- and Jim a B. You can use fractions. I will round the average to the nearest value. Grades are to be assigned on the basis of relative value of the person’s contribution to your group’s project. Value is a combination of quality and quantity, with emphasis on quality.

When your form is completed, put it in an envelope, seal it, and hand it in. Your evaluation is completely confidential.

Signature: ____________________________________________________________

Your name: __________________________________________________________________________

Name of project: _______________________________________________________________________

Do not include your name in the list below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of Group Members</th>
<th>–</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>______________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: + column must equal – column)

If you think the members of your group are doing poorly, don’t surprise them. Keep them informed. Perhaps you should help them place in another group. Or give them the opportunity to withdraw from the course. I will let them do so at no penalty.

Please add comments:
Why New Products Fail

- Me Too New Products, not unique, not new!
- Lack real consumer **benefit**
- “It’s What’s Up Front that Counts”
  - Lack of research
  - Poor or misused research
- Unrealistic time pressure(s)
- Confused poisoning (target group, main benefit, support of the benefit)
- Lack of coordination between marketing and R&D
- Product/Service doesn’t live up to its promise (result? – poor repeat rate)
- Marketing support pulled too soon (result? – low repeat rate, “sophomore year slump”)
- (For packaged goods) – ignoring trade considerations, slotting, rejection, etc.
- The “Gun’s of August” syndrome – new products pick up dangerous momentum