University of Pennsylvania  
The Wharton School  
Department of Management  

Fall 2012  

Syllabus  

Course: MGMT 111 - Multinational Management  
MW 1:30 – 3 pm in SHDH 1203  

Instructor: Adrian E. Tschoegl (pronunciation: churgle)  
Office: SHDH 2013  
Phone: 215-898-1149  
Fax: 215-898-0401  
E-mail: tschoegl@wharton.upenn.edu  

This syllabus is a plan, not a contract. I reserve the right to change it without notice.  

Office Hours: MTWT 3-4 pm, and by appointment.  

Reading Materials: I will post all readings to WebCafé a week in advance. Experience suggests that the amount of reading was only a little above the departmental average. I have increased it slightly this semester.  

Course Objective: This is essentially a course in the macro environment of international business. My objective is to familiarize you with some aspects of the international political, economic and institutional environment in which firms must operate, even many firms that don’t think they are engaged in international business. In your careers you will have to deal with that environment.  

By the end of the course you should:  

1. be familiar with some key vocabulary and concepts of international business;  
2. have had an opportunity to practice your skills in writing persuasively;  
3. have had some exposure to managerial implications of the international environment; and  
4. have a foundation for the Management Department's courses in Multinational Corporate Strategy (MGMT 205), Globalization and International Political Economy (MGMT 208), Politics and the MNC (MGMT 209), and Comparative Management (MGMT 234).  

Employers don’t generally care about the content of job applicants’ classes; they’re more interested in whether an applicant is able to meet deadlines and work within a bureaucracy. I have designed a number of the features of this course with that in mind.
COURSE POLICIES

GRADING:

I will grade the course on the basis of six one-page memos, one short paper, six quizzes, a final exam, and attendance.

* The memos will represent 30% of your grade.
* The short paper will represent 20% of your grade.
* Pop quizzes on the readings or lectures will represent 20% of your grade.
* The final exam will represent 20% of your grade.
* Attendance will represent 10% of your grade.

Overall, half your grade will depend on objective items (quizzes, final and attendance) and half will depend on subjective items (memos and paper). At the end of the semester, 40% of your grade (final and paper) will still be open. That means your overall grade may move substantially from the grade you have going into the final.

To ensure that each item receives the correct weight in the final tally, I convert your score on each item to a Z score. For example, I shall take your score on a quiz, subtract the mean for the class and then divide by the standard deviation.

\[ Z(x) = \frac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma} \]

Even perfect scores on different quizzes, memos, etc. may not receive the same Z score. A perfect score on an easy quiz (high class average) may convert to a lower score than a good score on a difficult quiz (low class average).

EVALUATION:

Your overall grade in the course will depend on relative scores not absolute scores.

The median grade will depend on the size of the class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of class</th>
<th>Class median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 35 +/-</td>
<td>B-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 26 and 35 +/-</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 +/- or fewer</td>
<td>B+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a class size in excess of 35 students, I would expect to award about 25%+/-5% As, 35% +/-5% Bs, 40% +/-5% Cs, and 0-5% Ds and Fs

The course will offer the Pass-Fail option.
WRITTEN ASSIGNMENTS:

I expect, at the very least, that your written assignments be clean, on time, within the space budget and in hard (paper) copy. I have more detailed requirements regarding cleanliness, punctuality and the space budget below. So far as the issue of hard copy is concerned, turning in a disk with a note “I had problems printing so I turned in my disk” does not complete the assignment. I will accept faxed assignments but they must arrive on or before the due date. Under extraordinary circumstances I will accept an assignment by email, but the assignment must be a fully formatted Word document attached to your email message. If you have someone else turn your paper in for you, check with me that I got it.

I have no model of perfect work; success comes from exceeding requirements, my expectations, and the work of your classmates.

Research: Please note that research is not just about going on the Internet. Much useful material is not on the Internet, and some of the material on the Internet is not reliable. Whatever your sources, you may not plagiarize, i.e., quote verbatim without pointing out that the material is a quote, and you must cite your sources.

I and the school will treat cases of plagiarism severely. Please realize that search engines make plagiarism from the Internet easy to detect.

Memos:

What: The memos are mini-cases that you yourself create and solve. The memos give you an opportunity to apply the material from class to current situations that have meaning to you.

Memos should be around three to five paragraphs in length, and should focus on covering the business aspects and implications of the topic you covered. The key question to answer is, “As your manager, why is this important to me? What are the potential ramifications? How will it potentially impact our business?” You are not writing news reports or encyclopedia entries, but rather engaging in practical analysis from a business perspective.

When: I shall assign 11 one-page memos during the term. On Monday or Wednesday I will post the assignment on the course Webpage. Your memo will be due in class on the following Monday or Wednesday.

For the purposes of your final grade, I shall only count your six highest grades. You may drop or ignore up to five assignments.

Because you have the option to drop memos I shall not accept late memos. I expect you to use your freedom to drop assignments as a way of dealing with
illness, injury, nervous breakdown, necessary travel and attendant delays, death in
the family, fire in your residence, harassing phone calls, stolen cars with notes
inside, software or hardware difficulties and any other such problems.

Skipping the first five memos with plans to do the last six is a risky strategy.
Students have found themselves short a memo due to miscalculations or
unexpected difficulties.

**How:** *I expect a professional memo, not a report or case study in memo format.* I
expect you to come to a decision and hence a clear and decisive recommendation.
(After you have done the research, you are the expert; I would not waste your time
and mine asking you to look into something to which I already know the answer.)
The first line of the body of the memo should begin with the formula (or variant),
"I recommend that we..." Your opening sentence should give me the key reason
why you are enthusiastic about your recommendation. Your recommendation
should also be clear on the issue of “When?” That is, any time-frame or deadlines
involved should be clear.

Your task is to persuade me of your recommendation. However, the issue is not
just what we should do, but how we should go about doing it. If I may quote the
late 5-star general, Omar Bradley, “Amateurs talk about strategy; professionals
talk about logistics.”

Put another way, your memo should include a plan with directions to how to
implete it and (possibly) how to obtain resources for it. Without those, you don’t
have a plan, you have a wish. (Hope is not a strategy.)

*Other people's time is valuable.* You must keep the length of your memos within
one page and under 450 words of text. This word and page limitation does not
include the memo headings or footnotes or endnotes. You may append tables,
charts or other appendices to buttress your case. Appendices also do not count
against the one-page limitation.

*Clean copy is easier and more pleasant to read.* I expect you to proofread your
memos for typos, spelling errors, missing words, misuse of words, etc. I shall
accept no more than one error per page. (Misspelling my name is an error.)

To help you understand my expectations, I have attached a copy of a general
guideline (Appendix 1), a copy of an example from a different course (Appendix
2) and a copy of the grading sheet that I use for the memos (Appendix 3). Also
each week I shall post a “memo of the week” on the door to my office. None of
the memos that I post will necessarily be the “best” memo. The memos I choose
to highlight will be good but more importantly they will be ones that I found
particularly interesting for one reason or another.
Why: What you will learn from the process of doing the memos is how to take an ill-defined topic about which you know little or nothing, research it, define the problem, deal with it expeditiously, and go onto the next crisis. As managers you will find that you will spend much of your time figuring out what question to ask and then persuading others of your answer. Actually coming up with an answer is usually the least time-consuming part of the exercise.

To get the most out of the memos try to organize them around a common theme. The theme could be a company or industry that you want to work for, an area of the world that you would like to know more about, or any other principle that makes sense to you. By organizing around a common theme you may achieve economies of scope in your research and quickly build up a stock of knowledge about your area of interest.

Short report:

What: Analyze the internationalization of a particular company that interests you. I expect you to use the paper to engage the reading. That is, I expect that your report will discuss the company in light of one to three of the readings, and use the company’s history to critique the reading.

Your first draft will probably have more history and less use of the readings than I would expect on the final draft, but I do expect you to at least start on engaging one reading. The most useful readings generally come from the end of the course so you may want to read ahead rather than to force connections between your company and the readings you will have had up to then. Readings you may use include any article from a scholarly journal; you may not use any article from The Economist or similar general audience publications.

Your research sources must include Internet sources, but you may not limit yourself to the Internet. You must also include some print sources.

When: The first draft is due on 24 October 2012. I will grade it and return it to you. Your grade on the first draft will represent 7% of your final grade.

The final draft is due on 5 December 2012. I will grade it and keep it for my files. Your grade on the final draft will represent 13% of your final grade.

You may hand the first draft and the final draft in at my office or in my mail box in the Management Department office before 5 p.m. on the date due. I will deduct a half point (relative to a max score of 8 on the first draft and 18 on the final draft), for every 24 hours (including weekend days) that you are late.

How: The short report will be a maximum of four pages or 1800 words in length, whichever is less (not including cover, end-notes, tables and charts in appendices,
but including the executive summary). *This is your budget; stay within it.* The first draft should follow the same format as the final draft.

To save space, minimize quoting from the readings or abstracting the readings. You can assume that I am familiar with any readings that I have assigned. Rather than footnotes, cite your sources using end-notes that you number (using Arabic numerals) consecutively in the text and that you group on a separate page *in lieu* of a bibliography.

Start the paper with an executive summary (that you label as such) of 100 words or fewer. Ideally, the summary consists of one sentence that summarizes what the paper is about, one sentence that explains why the company you chose is interesting, and one sentence that summarizes your primary finding. The summary is part of your four page/1800 word limit and should NOT be on a separate page from the rest of your report.

The paper should have an introduction and a conclusion. The introduction should include one sentence that describes the organization of the paper. Good practice includes breaking up the report with headings. The conclusion should not introduce new material.

Among your appendices you might wish to include a table that gives a timeline for your company. A good way to organize the table is with three columns: the first column gives the date, the second names or describes the event, and the third column gives the event’s significance.

Please print your report with a cover sheet that includes the name of the company that you are analyzing, your name, and the course name, number and semester. Also, please include a short paragraph explaining why you chose the company you chose. Please do not use plastic or stiff stock covers; I intend to keep your reports in a three-hole binder.

**Why:** The assignment will help you integrate course material in a context that is meaningful to you.

**QUIZZES:**

Eleven times during the semester I shall administer a surprise quiz at the beginning or end of class. Each quiz will consist of a handful of questions about main points of the articles or lectures, not minutiae. Only your top six quizzes will count. The quizzes:

1. give you an incentive to keep up with the reading so that the lectures and class discussion are meaningful to you;
2. enable me to make at least part of your grade highly objective; and
give me a way of tracking attendance without taking roll.

Each quiz will deal with one or more of the articles that I have assigned either for that class session or the previous class session, or with the previous lecture. Those readings that I refer to in the course outline in italics (see below) I have recommended but not required; therefore, they are not subject to quiz or final. On one or two occasions I will give you a choice among required readings, and the quizzes will reflect that.

Because I use the quizzes to take roll, if you come in late to a class session, at the end of class ask for a copy of the quiz and put your name on it. You may not take the quiz but at least I will mark you as having been present. If you leave class early and miss a quiz at the end of class I shall mark you as absent.

FINAL EXAM:

The final exam will consist of True/False and Multiple Choice questions and perhaps some small problems having to do with foreign exchange. (You may not use calculators though if you know what you are doing that should not be a problem.) The exam will focus roughly equally on the lectures and the readings. The final will include material from the entire course and will take about three-quarters of an hour, +/- 15 minutes.

The final exam is scheduled for Monday 30 April from 12-2. I will not know the venue for the final exam until late in the semester. I shall notify you as soon as the School informs me.

I will let you know your grades after 19 December, the close of the course evaluation period.

ATTENDANCE:

I shall grade attendance not class participation.

As part of your attendance I expect you to visit me in my office at least once on or before 15 October 2012.

MISSING ASSIGNMENTS:

Please keep an accurate count of the number of memos you have handed in and the number of quizzes you have taken. I shall not be offering make-ups or extra credit; if you are short a memo or quiz this will have a devastating effect on your grade for the course. Furthermore, planning on doing the minimum necessary work or only the last six are risky strategies; if anything goes wrong, and often something will, you have no insurance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Assign.</th>
<th>Readings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sep 5</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hudgins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>International Politics (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Borgeson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mead (American Decline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Andersen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sep 10</td>
<td>International Politics (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Huntington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mandelbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mead (Anglosphere)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goldstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep 12</td>
<td>Trade Practice – INCOterms</td>
<td>Memo 1</td>
<td>Ikenson – trade facilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Whytock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stockbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reagan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Harten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>deGramont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sep 17</td>
<td>Trade Practice – LCs &amp; other</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First Rand Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jung, or Steele &amp; Gordon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perry et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reveron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scotiabank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sep 19</td>
<td>Memo 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rivoli or McNeil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antia et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crowson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K@W - Pack interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Boudreaux (Factors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Econ - Chopsticks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mann – Potato (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Oct 1</td>
<td>Geography &amp; trade</td>
<td>Memo 3</td>
<td>Weder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hale &amp; Hobjin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fontagné et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Robayo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mexican coke (skim)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grotewold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clemens et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tansey &amp; Touray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Assign.</td>
<td>Readings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Bold = read</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Not bold= skim</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 3</td>
<td>Oct 8</td>
<td>Tariffs &amp; Quotas</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bartlett, Edwards, Hindley &amp; Erixon, Nakra, Barbaro, Cha, Davidson,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solomon, K@W Steel tariffs, Romer, United States, Sass &amp; Cooperman,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lusk &amp; Norwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other protectionist measures</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ikenson, Robin &amp; Sawyer, Carbaugh &amp; Olienyk, WTO_2010 anti dumping,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mork, Matthews &amp; Dunaeva, Hitt et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 10</td>
<td>Trade issues</td>
<td>Memo 4</td>
<td>Boudreaux (Globalization), Heltberg (intro &amp; conclusion), Frank,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Powell, BBC Japan ivory, Postrel, Seibert, Silvers (optional), Skarbek (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oct 15</td>
<td>WTO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Der Spiegel - WTO, BR info sheet, GTN Summary, Ikenson &amp; Lighthizer,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WTO_2009 WTO disputes, Canada request, Anti-Smoot Hawley, K@W China &amp; rare earths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 17</td>
<td>Regional integration</td>
<td>Memo 5</td>
<td>Coulibaly and Fontaine, Griswold (FTAs), K@W 3 FTAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Oct 22</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Assign.</td>
<td>Readings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|      | Oct 24 | Regional blocks | 1st Draft | Griswold *(Nafta)*  
Klonsky & Hanson  
Salvatore  
Tupy |
|      | Oct 29 | Forex intro     | Memo 6  | Dunn  
Vargas-Silva  
Oberlechner & Hocking *(Intro & Conc)*  
Palmer |
|      | Oct 31 | Forex intro (II) |         | Rose |
| 9    | Nov 5  | FX (Monetary)   | Memo 7  | Grosse & Tschoegl  
Tschoegl  
Buieter  
Jahangir  
Moore or Phillips |
|      | Nov 7  |                  |         |          |
| 10   | Nov 12 | FX (Real)       | Memo 8  | Humphrey  
Poloz  
Cote  
Shah  
Keating or Abelson |
|      | Nov 14 | Balance of Payments |       | Makin  
Baker Nordin  
Roberts  
Hanke  
Batson  
Boudreaux *(trade deficit)* |
| 12   | Nov 19 | Intl. financial system | Memo 9  | Bernanke  
Rogoff  
Fettig Weber  
Gregson  
Mankiw  
BIS/EABH  
Samuelson |
<p>|      | Nov 21 |                  |         |          |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Assign.</th>
<th>Readings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Nov 26</td>
<td>Intl. capital markets</td>
<td>Memo 10</td>
<td>Obstfeld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K@W LIBOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov 28</td>
<td>History of the MNC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kobrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dec 3</td>
<td>Internationalization</td>
<td>Memo 11</td>
<td>Goldberg et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hu (MNCs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec 5</td>
<td>Foreign Direct Investment</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>Brakman et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hu (advantages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kogut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plotkin &amp; Fagan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hirsch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Memorandum
Name of the company or institution

DATE

TO: Adrian E. Tschoegl. *Give me a title or rank consistent with the rest of your memo*

FROM: *Your name and the name of the group or division that you represent.*

SUBJECT: *Include a clear, definite statement of purpose. Include a verb to give a sense of direction.*

(Recommendation and conclusion) What do you want me to do and why (all in one, focused sentence)? What may happen if I (we) do or do not follow your recommendation?

(Introduction) Why is the topic important? What has preceded your memo? What definitions do we need, if any? Outline your position and the development of your material below.

(Body) Here you expand on your recommendation.

Why: Give sufficient reasons (justification) for the course of action you are recommending. You should cite evidence for your position. Use analogies, examples, statistics or quotations. If you need tables, charts, diagrams or maps, consider putting them in appendices. What could go wrong? You should also point out any major risks or potential objections and why you believe that they do not invalidate your recommendation.

What: Provide more detail on what you want me to do. Sketch out the plan.

Note: Usually, you should use one paragraph for each major issue or conclusion. Lastly, you may use transition words, phrases and sentences to improve the flow. You may also use bullet points if that improves the presentation.
I recommend that you write to Mr. Robert Reich to explain our situation and ask him to make an exception (due to the peculiarity of the situation) in our case. By writing to the Secretary of Labor and informing him of the problem at hand, we make it more likely that we can solve resolve the situation quietly and with an outcome favorable to us. If we ignore the issue and the matter becomes public, we risk a public relations fiasco if we have to fire young Tommy McCoy.

At your request, I investigated if we had any illegal aliens working for our franchise. Fortunately, I found that we have not broken any labor laws in relation to this issue. Still, I did happen to find out that we are in violation of federal child labor laws that prohibit youngsters under sixteen years of age from working past 7 p.m. on school nights or 9 p.m. during the summer. Unfortunately, we have in our employ as a batboy, a fourteen year-old, Tommy McCoy. Firing him would tarnish our public image. Therefore, in writing to Mr. Reich, we could play on his intelligence and emotions to allow us to continue to use Tommy as our batboy. The letter should include the following points:

- the purpose of the child labor laws is to prevent children from being overworked and exploited by their employers, forcing them to neglect other duties, such as education; Tommy enjoys his job very much and, because of the unique hours, he has the opportunity to pursue other endeavors during non-work hours (especially during summer - i.e. summer camp)
- we do not require that Tommy work the nights during the school year when he has something important to do the next day at school (like taking a test);
- we have obtained his parent’s consent, and also their blessing - they are pleased that their son is happy working for us and also the fact that he has an opportunity to make a little extra money being part of something he loves, an opportunity not afforded to many of us adults;
- our strong desire to remedy the situation and, if necessary, to compromise - although we would like for Tommy to work for us as much as he wants, we could request a compromise: perhaps Tommy could be allowed to work all summers games and weekend games during the school year.
- finally, we should emphasize that it is every child’s dream to be a batboy, as it was probably one of Mr. Reich’s.

This letter would acknowledge a minor wrongdoing. Still, it would serve as a vehicle to stop the wheels of justice from rolling over us for such a minor incident as we would, in fact, fire the boy as a last resort. It might even serve to get the law changed.

There is a possible drawback to writing to Mr. Reich. It might be totally unnecessary for us to take any action until the issue is brought up by someone else, such as a local politician or a parent. In other words, we might not want to make a move until someone else does. Nevertheless, I feel that taking the initiative is the appropriate course of action. A letter to the Secretary of Labor would show our desire to remedy the situation and it could allow Tommy to keep his job.
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1) Absolute criteria.

1.1) First impression

   * Have you followed instructions (on length, format, etc.)? __________

1.2) Content

   * Do you make meaningful use of a real company? __________
   * Do you have a definite recommendation? __________
   * Have you picked an appropriate problem? __________
   * Have you focused on one issue? __________
   * Do you have a clear plan? __________
   * Is your plan free from logical inconsistencies? __________
   * Have you addressed possible major criticisms? __________
   * Is your paper free of obvious factual errors? __________
   * Have you included appropriate research? __________
   * Have you stuck to the point and avoided fluff? __________

1.3) Presentation

   * Have you proofread the memo? __________

2) Relative to your classmates’ work on this assignment:

   * Is your memo particularly creative in its take on the topic? __________
   * Is your memo particularly creative in its approach? __________
   * Do you have particularly noteworthy supporting material? __________
   * Is your memo particularly well-written? __________
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