<u>MANAGEMENT 951a: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR – THE FIRST HALF</u> University of Pennsylvania

Fall 2017 - Q1

Monday, 1:30-4:30pm Room 2034, Management Suite, Steinberg-Dietrich Hall

Professor Sigal Barsade

Barsade@wharton.upenn.edu Phone: 215-898-1373

Course Descriptions

The purpose of this course is to examine and understand basics in the theory and empirical research in the field of micro-organizational behavior and to increase our understanding of people's behavior in organizations. To do so, we will cover a blend of classic and contemporary literature so that we can appreciate the prevailing theories and findings in various areas of micro-organizational behavior. In addition, for each topic we will then try to go beyond the existing literature. We will work to increase our understanding by re-framing the research variables, altering the perspective, bringing in new theory, and comparing levels of analysis. The purpose of this course is not meant to be exhaustive, rather it covers approximately half of the organizational behavior literature. For a more complete understanding of the basics of organizational behavior it is mandatory for organizational behavior students to take the 2nd quarter course (Mgmt 961) which will cover the remaining topics in basic organizational behavior (Spring – Q4 - 2017).

Course Requirements

The student role will encompass the following requirements for students taking both 951 and 961. For students enrolled only in 951, 2 innovation papers will be due and one 7 to 15 page paper on a theoretical topic (no need to include the research design).

- 1. Preparation of two 1-3 page "innovations." These will be very brief presentations of novel hypotheses (something not already known or immediately obvious to researchers in O.B.). You will state a hypothesis and then present a short justification about why it is a good idea. Many of these will become topics for discussions within the seminar itself.
- 2. Preparation of a 15 to 30 page paper which adds new knowledge or brings a new perspective to old findings within the field. It is perfectly acceptable to incorporate your short "innovations" into this longer paper (if your ideas have followed a consistent thread), but this is not necessary or even preferred. The paper should review prior research on your topic or related literatures (if your topic is quite new), and then should pose a set of hypotheses that would be worth pursuing in future research. You should then describe what an empirical test of your ideas would look like through a description of a research design (that would read like a methods section). The paper should encompass topics in both classes. If the paper is only for Mgmt 951 it can be a 7.5-15 page paper.

3. Participation:

- a. Lead discussion on subtopics and issues within the seminar through responsibility for a particular set of articles or sub-areas. In this role, first summarize the article briefly (no more than 2 or 3 minutes at most), then present (briefly) what you think are the major strengths and weakness of a paper. It is important to be sure to also focus on strengths. Then, pose what you think are interesting or provocative questions (stimulated by the paper) that our class should discuss.
- b. Lead an opposing position. This is the same as above, except you will be responding to what the lead discussion person says. This role is meant to help you constructively develop your critical thinking abilities.
- 4. Be an active (constructively critical) participant throughout the term.

Preparation for Class Sessions

Each student is expected to come to class prepared to discuss *all* the required readings for each class session. The essence of this seminar is contained in the quality of the classroom discussion. As you review each reading you might want to consider the following issues:

- What is the basic formulation of the theory (constructs and relationships among them), and what drives the theory?
- What are the underlying assumptions?
- What is the main contribution of this paper? What are the interesting ideas?
- What are is your analysis of the methods?
- What was done well and what could have been improved?
- Do you believe his or her arguments? What would it take to convince you?
- What are the boundary conditions of the argument, in other words, under what circumstances does the argument apply and not apply?
- What are the critical differences between this author's argument and others you have read? Can these differences be resolved through an empirical test?

Important Dates

The short "innovation" papers will be due the 4th and 7th weeks of class. The 951 term paper will be due Monday, March 20 2017, by e-mail and hard copy in my box. If you are taking both 951 and 961 you can choose to do one bigger term paper due Friday, May 19, 2017.

951 - Course Outline

- Week 1 September 11 Person vs. Situation: A Central Debate
- Week 2 September 18 Motivation: The Search for More & Better Performance
- Week 3 September 25 It's not fair!: The Influence of Equity, Unfairness on Behavior and Organizational Justice INNOVATION PAPER DUE
- Week 4 October 2 Being a Team
- Week 5– October 9 More about teams: Demography, & Diversity
- Week 6 October 16 Leadership as Metaphor or Metamorphosis?

INNOVATION PAPER DUE

Week 1 Person vs. Situation: A Central Debate

Required Readings:

Staw, B., Bell, N. & Clausen, J. (1986). The Dispositional Approach to Job Attitudes: A Lifetime Longitudinal Test. Administrative Science Quarterly, 31, 56-77.

Arvey, R.D, Li, W. & Wang, N. (2016). Genetics and organizational behavior. <u>Annual Review of</u> Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 3:167-190.

Schmidt, F.L. & Hunter, J. 2004. General mental ability in the world of work: Occupational attainment and job performance. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 86, 162-173.

Roberts, B., Caspi, A, & Moffitt, T. (2003). Work experiences and personality development in young adulthood. <u>Journal of Personality & Social Psychology</u>, 84, 582-593.

Ross L. & Nisbett, R.E. (2011). Chapters 1 & 2. The person and the situation: Perspective of Social Psychology.

Chatman, J. and Barsade, S. (1995). Personality, culture and cooperation: Evidence from a business simulation. Administrative Science Quarterly, 40 (3): 423-443.

Hoffman, B.J, Bynum, B.H., Piccolo, R.F. & Sutton, A.W. (2011). Person-Organization value congruence: How transformational leaders influence work group effectiveness. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 54, 779-796.

Other articles of interest (but not required):

Barrick, M.R. & Mount, M. (1991). The Big Five Personality Dimensions & Job Performance: A Meta-Analysis. Personnel Psychology, 44, 1-26.

Barrick, M.R., Stewart, G.L., Neubert, M.J., & Mount, M.K. (1998). Relating member ability and personality to work-team processes and team effectiveness. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, <u>83</u>, 377-391.

Bell, S.T. (2007). Deep-level composition variables as predictors of Team Performance: A Meta-Analysis, <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 3, 595-615.

Chatterjee, A. & Hambrick, D. (2007). It's all about me: Narcissistic chief executive officers and their effects on company strategy and performance. Administrative Science Quarterly, 52, 351-386.

Chatman, J. (1989). Improving interactional organizational research: A model of personorganization fit. Academy of Management Review, 14: 333-349.

Davis-Blake, A. & Pfeffer, J. (1989). Just a Mirage: The Search for Dispositional Effects in Organizational Research. Academy of Management Review, 14, 385-400.

Grant, A.M. (2013). Rethinking the extraverted sales ideal: The ambivert advantage. <u>Psychological</u> Science, 24: 1024-1030.

Kenrick, D.T. & Funder, D.C. (1988). Lessons from the Person-Situation Debate. <u>American Psychologist</u>, 43, 23-32.

Kilduff, M. & Day, D.V. 1994. Do Chameleons get ahead: The effects of self-monitoring on managerial careers. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 37, 1047-1060

Roberts, B.W. & Mroczek, D. (2008). Personality trait change in adulthood. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 17, 31-35.

Schneider, B. (1987). The People Make the Place. Personal Psychology, 40, 437-453.

Schneider, B. (1995). The ASA framework: An update. Personal Psychology, 48, 747-773.

Staw, B.M. & Cohen-Charash, Y. (2005). The dispositional approach to job satisfaction: More than a mirage, but not yet an oasis. <u>Journal of Organizational Behavior</u>, 26, 59-78.

Weiss, H. & Adler, S. (1984). Personality and Organizational Behavior. In B. Staw & L. Cummings (eds.), Research in Organizational Behavior, Vol. 4.

Week 2 Motivation: The Search for More & Better Performance

Required Readings:

Diefendorff, J. M., & Chandler, M. M. (2011). Motivating employees. In S. Zedeck (Ed.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology, vol. 3: Maintaining, expanding, and contracting the organization* (pp. 65-135). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Staw, B.M., (1974). Attitudinal and Behavioral Consequences of Changing a Major Organizational Reward: A Natural Field Experiment. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 29, 742-751.

Gagne, M., & Deci, E. L. 2005. Self-determination theory and work motivation. <u>Journal of Organizational Behavior</u>, 26, 331–362.

Grant, A. M., Campbell, E. M., Chen, G., Cottone, K., Lapedis, D., & Lee, K. 2007. Impact and the art of motivation maintenance: The effects of contact with beneficiaries on persistence behavior. <u>Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes</u>, 103: 53-67.

Locke, E.A. & Latham, G.P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35 year odyssey. <u>American Psychologist</u>, 57: 705-717.

Rothbard, N. P. (2001). Enriching or Depleting? The Dynamics of Engagement in Work and Family Roles. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 46: 655-684.

Kanfer, R & Chen, G. (2016). Motivation in organizational behavior: History, advances and prospects. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 136,6-19.

Other articles of interest (but not required):

Amabile, T. (1985). Motivation and creativity: Effects of motivational orientation on creative writers, <u>Journal of Personality & Social Psychology</u>, 48, 393-397.

Brett, J. & Stroh, L. (2003). Working 61 plus hours a week: Why do managers do it? <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 88, 67-78.

Campbell, J. & Pritchard, R. (1976). Motivation Theory in Industrial & Organizational Psychology. in M. Dunnette (ed.), Handbook of Industrial & Organizational Psychology, Rand-McNally. (skim)

Deci, E. & Ryan, R. (1980). The Empirical Explanation of Intrinsic Motivation Processes. in L. Berkowitz (ed.), Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 13, Academic Press.

Grant, A. M., & Berry, J. W. 2011. The necessity of others is the mother of invention: Intrinsic and prosocial motivations, perspective-taking, and creativity, <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 54:

73-96.

Grant, A. M., & Hofmann, D. A. (2012). Outsourcing inspiration: The performance effects of ideological messages from leaders vs. beneficiaries, <u>Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes</u>, 116, 173-187.

Langer, E. (1978). Rethinking the Role of Thought in Social Interaction. In J. Harvey, W. Ickes, & R. Kidd (eds.), New Directions in Attribution Research, Lawrence Erlbaum.

Mitchell, T.R. & Daniels, D. (2003). Motivation. In W.C. Borwman, D.R. Ilgen & R.J. Klimoski (Eds). <u>Handbook of psychology</u>, volume twelve: <u>Industrial and organizational psychology</u>, 225-254. New York: John Wiley.

Shamir, B. (1991). Meaning, Self, and Motivation in Organizations. <u>Organization Studies</u>, 12, 405-424.

Staw, B. & Boettger, R. (1990). Task Revision: A Neglected Form of Work Performance. Academy of Management Journal, 33, 534-559.

Steers, R., Mowday, R., & Shapiro, D. (2004). The Future of Work Motivation Theory. <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, 29, 379-387.

Willems, E.P. (1973). Go Ye Into All the World & Modify Behavior: An Ecologist's View. Representative Research in Social Psychology, 4, 93-105.

Wright, P. et al. (1993). Productivity & Extra Role Behavior: The Effects of Goals & Incentives on Spontaneous Helping. Journal of Applied Psychology, 78, 374-381.

Week 3

It's not fair!: The Influence of Equity, Unfairness on Behavior and Organizational Justice & Voice

Required Readings:

Greenberg, J. (1988). Equity & Workplace Status: A Field Experiment. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 73, 606-613.

Brockner, J., et.al. (1994). Interactive effects of procedural justice and outcome negativity on victims and survivors of job loss. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 37, 397-409.

Barsky, A. & Kaplan, S.A. (2007). If you feel bad, it's unfair: A quantitative synthesis of affect and organizational justice perceptions. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 92, 286-295.

Colquitt, J. A., Scott, B. A., Rodell, J. B., Long, D. M., Zapata, C. P., Conlon, D. E., & Wesson, M. J. (2013). Justice at the millennium, a decade later: A meta-analytic test of social exchange and affect-based perspectives. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, *98*, 199-236.

Schäfer, M., Haun, D.B.M. & Tomasello, M. (2015). Fair is not fair everywhere. <u>Psychological Science</u>, 1-9.

Detert, J. R., & Edmondson, A. C. (2011). Implicit voice theories: Taken-for-granted rules of self-censorship at work. Academy of Management Journal, 54(3), 461-488.

Dabos, G.E. & Rousseau, D.M. (2004). Mutality and reciprocity in the psychological contracts of employees and employers. Journal of Applied Psychology, 89, 52-72.

Other articles of interest (but not required):

Adams, J.S. (1963). Towards an understanding of inequity. <u>Journal of Abnormal and Social</u> Psychology, 67: 422-436.

Adams, S. (1965). Inequity in social exchange. <u>Advances in Experimental Social Psychology</u>, 2, 267-297.

Ambrose, M. & Cropanzano, R. (2003). A longitudinal analysis of organizational fairness: An examination of reactions to tenure and promotion decisions. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 88, 266-275.

Ashford, S. J., Rothbard, N. P., Piderit, S. K., & Dutton, J. E. 1998. Out on a limb: The role of context and impression management in selling gender-equity issues. <u>Administrative Science</u> Quarterly, 43: 23-57.

Barclay, L. J., Skarlicki, D. P., & Pugh, S. D. (2005). Exploring the role of emotions in injustice perceptions and retaliation. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, *90*, 629-643.

Brockner (1988). The effects of work layoffs on survivors: Research, theory and practice. Research in Organizational Behavior, 10:213-255.

Brockner, J. (2002). Making sense of procedural fairness: How high procedural fairness can reduce or heighten the influence of outcome favorability. <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, 58–76.

Barsky, A. & Kaplan, S.A. (2007). If you feel bad, it's unfair: A quantitative synthesis of affect and organizational justice perceptions. Journal of Applied Psychology, 92, 286-295.

Cohen-Charash, & Spector. (2001). The role of justice in organizations: A meta-analysis. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 86, 278-321.

Colquitt, J. A., & Zipay, K. P. (2015). Justice, fairness, and employee reactions. <u>Annual Review of</u> Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, *2*, 75-99.

Greenberg, J. (2011). Organizational justice: The dynamics of fairness in the workplace. In S. Zedeck (Ed), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology, vol. 3: Maintaining, expanding, and contracting the organization* (pp. 271-327). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Hsu, M., Anen, C., & Quartz, S. R. (2008). The right and the good: distributive justice and neural encoding of equity and efficiency. Science, 320 (5879), 1092.

Johnson, R. E.; Selenta, C. & Lord, R. G. (2006). When organizational justice and the self-concept meet: Consequences for the organization and its members. <u>Organizational Behavior and Human</u> Decision Processes, 99175-201

Kramer, R. (2001). Organizational Paranoia: Origins and dynamics. <u>Research in Organizational</u> Behavior, 23, 1-43.

Kulik, C & Ambrose, M. (1992). Personal and situational determinants of referent choice. <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, 17, 212-237.

Lyubomirsky, S. & Ross, L. (1997). Hedonic consequences of social comparison: a contrast of happy and unhappy people. <u>Journal of Personality & Social Psychology</u>, <u>73</u>, 1141-1157.

Masterson, S. S., Lewis, K., Goldman, B. M., & Taylor, M. S. (2000). Integrating justice and social exchange: The differing effects of fair procedures and treatment on work relationships. *Academy of Management Journal*, *43*, 738-748.

Meindl, J. (1989). Managing to be fair: An exploration of values, motives and leadership. Administrative Science Quarterly, 34, 252-276.

Morrison, E.W. (2011). Employee voice behavior: Integration and directions for future research. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 5, 373-412.

Pfeffer, J. & Langton, N. (1993). The Effect of Wage Dispersion on Satisfaction, Productivity, & Working Collaboratively. Administrative Science Quarterly, 38, 382-407.

Scott, B.A., Colquitt, J.A. & E.L. Paddock, E. L. (2009). An actor-focused model of justice rule adherence and violation: The role of managerial motives and discretion. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 94(3), 756.

Tyler, T. (1994). Psychological models of the justice motive: Antecedents of distributive and procedural justice. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 67, 850-863.

Withey, M.J. & Cooper, W. H. Predicting exit, voice, loyalty and neglect, 1989. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 34, 521-539.

Week 4 Being a Team

Required Readings:

Hackman, J.R. 1987. The design of work teams. In J. Lorsch (Ed.), <u>Handbook of organizational</u> behavior, 315-342. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Jehn, K.A., Mannix, E.A. (2001). The Dynamic Nature of Conflict: A Longitudinal Study of Intragroup Conflict and Group Performance. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 44, 238-251.

Bendersky, C. and Hays, N. (2012). Status conflict in groups. Organization Science, 23(2): 323-340.

Barsade, Sigal G. (2002). "The Ripple Effect: Emotional Contagion and its Influence on Group Behavior." Administrative Science Quarterly, 47, 644-675.

Ancona, D.G. & Caldwell, D.F. (1992). Bridging the boundary: External activity and performance in organizational teams. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 37, 549-579.

Edmondson, A, (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 44, 350-383.

Barrick, M. R., Bradley, B. H., Kristof-Brown, A. L., & Colbert, A. E. (2007). The moderating role of top management team interdependence: Implications for real teams and working groups. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, *50*(3), 544-557.

Wageman, R., Gardner, H. & Mortensen, M. (2012). The changing ecology of teams. <u>Journal of</u> Organizational Behavior, 33, 301-315.

Other articles of interest (but not required):

Aldag, R.J. & Fuller, S.R. (1991). Beyond fiasco: A reappraisal of the groupthink phenomenon and a new model of group decision processes. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 113: 533-552.

Ancona, D.G. & Caldwell, D.F. (1992). Bridging the boundary: External activity and performance in organizational teams. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 37, 549-579.

Barker, J. (1993). Tightening the iron cage: Concertive control in self-managing teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 38, 408-437.

Chang, A., Bordia, P., Duck, J. (2003). Punctuated equilibrium and linear progression: Toward a new understanding of group development. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 46: 106-117.

Dyer, L. & Ericksen, J. (2004). Right from the start: Exploring the effects of early team events on subsequent project team development and performance. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 49, 438-471.

Gersick, G. (1988). Time and transition in work teams: Toward a new model of group development, Academy of Management Journal, 31, 9-41.

Gibson, C. and Vermeulen, F. (2003). A healthy divide: Subgroups as a stimulus for team learning behavior. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 48: 202-239.

Glomb, T.M., & Liao, H. (2003). Interpersonal aggression in work groups: Social influence, reciprocal, and individual effects. Academy of Management Journal, 46, 486-496.

Guzzo, R.A., and Dickson, M.W. (1996). Teams in organizations: Recent research on performance and effectiveness. <u>Annual Review of Psychology</u>, 47, 307-338.

Ilgen, D.R. Hollenbeck, J.R., Johnson, M. Jundt, D. (2002). Teams in organizations: From input-process-output models to IMOI models. <u>Annual Review of Psychology</u>, 56: 517-543.

Jehn, K.A. 1995. A multimethod examination of the benefits and detriments of intragroup conflict. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 40, 256-282.

Kelly, J. & Barsade, S. (2001). Mood and emotions in small groups and work teams. <u>Organizational</u> <u>Behavior and Human Decision Processes</u>, 86, 99-130.

Lawrence, B. S. (2006). Organizational reference groups: A missing perspective on social context. Organization Science. 17, 80-100.

Levine, J., & Moreland, R. 1990. Progress in small group research. <u>Annual Review of Psychology</u>, 41, 585-634.

McGrath, J.E., Arrow, H., Berdahl, H.L. (2000). The study of groups: Past, present and future. <u>Personality and Social Psychology Review</u>, 4, 95-105.

Messick, David M., and Diane M. Mackie (1989). Intergroup relations. <u>Annual Review of Psychology</u>, 40, 45-81.

Moon, H., Conlon, D.C., Humphrey, S.E, Quigley, N. Devers, C.E. & Nowarkowski, J.M. (2003). Group decision processes and incrementalism in organizational decision making. <u>Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes</u>, 92, 67-79.

Mortensen, M., & Neeley, T. B. (2012). Reflected knowledge and trust in global collaboration. <u>Management Science</u>, <u>58</u>(12), 2207-2224.

Murnighan, J.K, and Conlon, D. (1991). The dynamics of intense work teams: A study of British

string quartets. Administrative Science Quarterly, 36, 165-186.

O'Leary, M. B., & Mortensen, M. (2010). Go (con) figure: Subgroups, imbalance, and isolates in geographically dispersed teams. <u>Organization Science</u>, <u>21</u>(1), 115-131.

Robinson, S., O'Leary-Kelly, A. (1998). Monkey see, monkey do: The influence of work groups on the antisocial behavior of employees. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u> 41(6): 659=8-672.

Simons, T. & Peterson, R. (2000). Task conflict and relationship conflict in top management teams: The pivotal role of intragroup trust. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 85, 102-111.

Stasser, G. & Stewart, D. (1992). Discovery of hidden profiles by decision-making groups" Solving a problem versus making a judgment." <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 63, 426-434.

Stewart, Greg L. (2006). A Meta-Analytic Review of Relationships Between Team Design Features and Team Performance, <u>Journal of Management</u>, 29-55.

Sundstrom, E et al. (1990). Work teams: Applications and effectiveness. American Psychologist, 45: 120-133.

Sutton, R.I. & Hargadon, A. 1996. Brainstorming groups in context: Effectiveness in a product design firm. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 41, 685-718.

Van Der Vegt, G. & Bunderson, J.S. (2005). Learning and performance in multidisciplinary teams: The importance of collective team identification. Academy of Management Journal, 48, 532-547.

Wageman, Ruth (1995). Interdependence and group effectiveness. <u>Administrative Science</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, 40, 145-180.

Weingart, L. (1997). How did they do that? The ways and means of studying group process. In Staw and Cummings (eds.) <u>Research in organizational behavior</u>, 19, 189-239.

Week 5 More about groups: Demography & Diversity

Required Readings:

van Dijk, H., Meyer, B., van Engen, M., & Loyd, D. (2016). Microdynamics in diverse teams: A review and integration of the diversity and stereotyping literatures. *Academy of Management Annals*.

Pelled, L., Eisenhardt, K. & Xin, K. (1999). Exploring the black box: An analysis of work group diversity, conflict and performance. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 44, 1-28.

Lau, D., & Murnighan, J.K. (2005). Interactions within groups and subgroups: The effects of demographic faultlines. Academy of Management Journal, 48, 645-659.

Green, A. R., Carney, D. R., Pallin, D. J., Ngo, L. H., Raymond, K. L., Iezzoni, L. I., & Banaji, M. R. (2007). Implicit bias among physicians and its prediction of thrombolysis decisions for black and white patients. *Journal of general internal medicine*, 22(9), 1231-1238.

Castilla, E. (2008). Gender, Race, and Meritocracy in Organizational Careers." American Journal of Sociology, 113:1479-1526.

Dumas, T. L., Phillips, K. W., & Rothbard, N. P. (2013). Getting closer at the company party: Integration experiences, racial dissimilarity, and workplace relationships. <u>Organization</u> Science, *24*(5), 1377-1401.

Kang, S. K., DeCelles, K. A., Tilcsik, A., & Jun, S. (2016). Whitened resumes: Race and self-presentation in the labor market. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 61(3), 469-502.

Tolbert, P. S., & Castilla, E. J. (2017). Editorial Essay: Introduction to a Special Issue on Inequality in the Workplace ("What Works?").

Other articles of interest (but not required):

Barsade, S. G., Ward, A. J., Turner, J. D.F., & Sonnenfeld, J. A. (2000). To Your Heart's Content: A Model of Affective Diversity in Top Management Teams. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 45, 802-836.

Brescoll, V. L. (2011). Who takes the floor and why: Gender, power, and volubility in organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 56(4), 622-641.

Bunderson, J.S. & Sutcliffe, K.M. (2002). Comparing alternative conceptualizations of functional diversity in management teams: Process and performance effects. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 45, 894-904.

Chatman, J. A; Polzer, J. T., Barsade, S. G. & Neale, M. A. (1998). Being different yet feeling similar: The influence of demographic composition and organizational culture on work processes and outcomes. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 43, 749-780

Harrison, D.A., Price, K. H., Bell, M. P. 1998. Beyond Relational Demography: Time and the Effects of Surface- and Deep-Level Diversity on Work Group Cohesion. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 41, 96-107

Harrison, D.A., Price, K. H., Gavin, J. H., & Florey, A.T. 2002. Time, Teams, and Task Performance: Changing Effects of Surface- and Deep-Level Diversity on Group Functioning. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 45: 1029-1045.

Ibarra, H. (1991). Homophily and differential returns: Sex differences in network struacture and access in an advertising firm. Administrative Science Quarterly, 37: 422-447.

Klein, K.J. & Harrison, D.A. (2007). What's the difference? Diversity constructs as separation, variety, or disparity in organizations. Academy of Management Review, 32: 1199-1228. 117.

Lau, D., & Murnighan, J.K. (1998). Demographic diversity and faultlines: The compositional dynamics of organizational groups. Academy of Management Review, 23 (2), 325-340.

Lawrence, B.S. (1995). The black box of organizational demography. Organization Science, 8, 1-22.

Lawrence, B. S. . (2006). Organizational reference groups: A missing perspective on social context. Organization Science, 17: 80-100.

Loyd, D. L., Wang, C. S., Phillips, K. W., & Lount Jr, R. B. (2013). Social category diversity promotes premeeting elaboration: The role of relationship focus. <u>Organization Science</u>, *24*(3), 757-772.

Nemeth, C. (1986). Differential contributions of majority versus minority influence. <u>Psychological</u> <u>Review</u>, 93, 23-32.

O'Reilly, Charles A., David F. Caldwell, and William P. Barnett (1989). Work group demography, social integration, and turnover. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 34, 21-37.

Pelled, L., Eisenhardt, K. & Xin, K. (1999). Exploring the black box: An analysis of work group diversity, conflict and performance. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 44, 1-28.

Peterson, R. & Nemeth, C. (1996). Focus versus flexibility: Majority and minority influence can both improve performance. <u>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</u>, 22: 14-23.

Pfeffer, J. (1983). Organizational demography. In B. Staw and L. Cummings (Eds.), <u>Research in Organizational Behavior</u>, <u>Vol. 5</u>. Conn.: JAI Press. (**skim only**)

Phillips, K. W., Rothbard, N. P., & Dumas, T. L. 2009. To disclose or not to disclose? Status distance and self-disclosure in diverse environments. <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, 34, 710-732.

Sherif, M, Harvey, O.J., White, J., Hood, W.R. & Sherif, C.W. (1961). Integroup conflict and cooperation: The Robbers cave experiment, Norman, OK: Institute of Group Relations.

Tsui, Anne S., Terri D. Egan, and Charles A. O'Reilly (1992). Being different: Relational demography and organizational attachment. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 37, 549-579.

van Dijk, H., van Engen, M. L., van Knippenberg, D. (2012). Defying conventional wisdom: A meta-analytical examination of the differences between demographic and job-related diversity relationships with performance. <u>OBHDP</u>, 119, 38-53.

Williams, K.Y. & O'Reilly, C.A. (1998). Demography and diversity in organizations: A review of 40 years of research. In B. Staw and L. Cummings, <u>Research in Organizational Behavior</u>, 20, 77-140. (skim).

Ziegert, J.C. & Hanges, P.J. (2005) Employment discrimination: The role of implicit attitudes, motivation and a climate for racial bias. Journal of Applied Psychology.

Week 6 Leadership as Metaphor or Metamorphosis

Required Readings:

Avolio, B.J., Walumbwa, F.O. & Weber, T.J. (2009). Leadership: Current theories, research, and future directions. Annual Review of Psychology, 60, 421-449

Meindl, J., Ehrlech, S., & Dukerich, J. (1985). The Romance of Leadership. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 30, 78-102.

Bass, B.M., Avolio, B.J., Jung, D.I. & Berson, Y. (2003). Predicting unit performance by assessing transformational and transactional leadership, <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 88, 207-218.

Erez, A. Misangyi, V.F., Johnson, D.E., LePine, M.A. & Halverson, K.S. (2008). Stirring the hearts of followers: Charismatic leadership as the transferal of affect. Journal of Applied Psychology, 93, 602-616.

Flynn, F. & Staw, B. (2004). Lend me your wallets: The effect of charismatic leadership on external support for an organization. <u>Strategic Management Journal</u>, 25, 309-330.

Chatterjee, A. & Hambrick, D. (2007). It's all about me: Narcissistic chief executive officers and their effects on company strategy and performance. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 52, 351-386.

Carton, A.M., Murphy, C & Clark, J.R. (2014). A (Blurry) Vision of the future: How leader rhetoric about ultimate goals influences performance. Academy of Management Journal, 57, 1544-1570.

Grant, A.M., Gino, F. & Hofmann, D.A. (2011). Reversing the extraverted leadership advantage: The role of employee proactivity, 54, 528-550.

Other articles of interest (but not required):

Bubin, R.S., Munza, D.C. & Bommer, W.. (2005). Leading from within: The effects of emotion recognition and personality on transformational leadership behavior. <u>Academy of Management</u> Journal, 48, 845-858.

Calder, B.J. (1977). An Attribution Theory of Leadership. In B. Staw & G. Salancik (eds.), <u>New Directions in Organizational Behavior</u>, St. Clair Press.

Conger, J. and Kanungo, R. (1987). Toward a behavioral theory of charismatic leadership in organizational settings, <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, 4, 637-647.

House, R., Spangler, W. & Woycke, J. (1991). Personality & Charisma in the U.S. Presidency: A Psychological Theory of Leader Effectiveness. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 36, 364-396.

Howell, J. & Frost, P. (1989). A Laboratory Study of Charismatic Leadership. <u>Organizational</u> Behavior & Human Decision Processes, 43, 243-269.

Howell, J.M. & Shamir, B. (2005). The role of followers in the charismatic leadership process: Relationships and their consequences. Academy of Management Review, 30(1): 96-112.

Judge, T.A., Bono, J.E., Ilies, R. & Gerhardt, M.W. (2002). Personality and leadership: A qualitative and quantitative review. Journal of Applied Psychology, 87, 765-780.

Klein, K.J., Ziegert, J.C, Knight, A.P. & Xiao, Y. (2006). Dynamic delegation: Shared, hierarchical, and deindividualized leadership in extreme action teams. <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 51, 590-621.

Pastor, J.C., Meindl, J.R. & Mayo, M.C. (2002). A network effects model of charisma attributions, <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 45, 410-420.

Peterson, R. S., Smith, D. B., Martorana, P. V., Owens, P. D. (2003). The Impact of Chief Executive Officer Personality on Top Management Team Dynamics: One mechanism by which leadership affects organizational performance. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 88, 795-808.

Pfeffer, J. (1981). Management as Symbolic Action: The Creation & Maintenance of Organizational Paradigms in L. Cummings & B. Staw (eds.), <u>Research in Organizational Behavior</u>, <u>Vol. 3</u>, 1-53. (Skim only)

Shamir, B., Zakay, E., Popper, M. (1998). Correlates of charismatic leader behavior in military units: Subordinates' attitudes, unit characteristics, and superiors' appraisals of leader performance. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 41, 387-409.