Course Description: On January 28, 1986, the space shuttle Challenger exploded shortly after takeoff. The ship was lost and the crew of seven was killed. A total failure of mission. The disaster resulted from a series of bad decisions made by super smart and extremely dedicated scientists, engineers and managers. While it is an extreme case, and a tragic one, it illustrates how the organization in which you work can have a profound influence and be a critical factor in what decisions you make and how you make them. A central focus of this course will be on various factors that influence, guide and restrain decision-making in business. A central theme of this course is that a responsible business leader should be aware of these influences, guides and restraints to be able to make the best decisions possible.

Course Requirements: The class uses a case approach, with emphasis on discussion and exercises. Students are expected to read the assigned case or cases and background material for each class before the class and actively participate in class discussion and exercises during each class. Final grades will be based on class participation and a final exam.

Course Outline: Assignments will follow the outline provided below. There may be changes and additions to the outline. Any changes or additions will be announced in class and by email. Readings will be available electronically or distributed in class.

Class 1 (January 19): Organizational Constraints to Responsible Decision-making

Case: “The Space Shuttle Challenger Disaster”
Class 2 (January 24): Psychological Constraints to Responsible Decision-making

Cases:  B. Van Dissel, “Martha McCaskey”

D. Gioa, “Personal Reflections on the Pinto Fires Case”

Reading: “Deciding What’s Right: A Psychological Approach”

Class 3 (January 26): Moral Guides and Constraints to Responsible Decision-making

Cases:  M. Sandu, “Dicing with Death? A case study of Guidant Corporation’s implantable defibrillator”

U. Leguin, “The Ones Who Walk Away From Omelas”

Reading: T. Donaldson & P. Werhane, “Introduction to Ethical Reasoning”

Class 4 (January 31): The Scope of Business Responsibility

Cases:  “Dilemma of an Accountant”

“Lex Services Group, Ltd.: Work Conditions at Inglesby Shipyard”

Mark Dowie, “Pinto Madness”

Class 5 (February 2): Corporate Influences and Constraints to Responsible Decision-making

Case:  “Merck & Co., Inc.”

Readings:  M. Friedman, “The Social Responsibility of Business Is To Increase Its Profits”

E. Freeman, “Managing for Stakeholders”

K. Goodpaster, “Business Ethics and Stakeholder Analysis”

J. Mackey, M. Friedman & T. Rogers, “Rethinking the Social Responsibility of Business”

Class 6 (February 7): Wearing Two Hats? Personal Responsibility and Business Responsibility

Cases:  J. Useem, “The Analyst’s Dilemma”

J.M. Shea, Jr., “Memo of a Dallas Citizen”
Class 7 (February 9): Perceptual Barriers to Responsible Decision-making
  Reading: S. Milgrim, “Obedience to Authority”

Classes 8 & 9 (February 14 & 16): Legal Guides and Constraints to Responsible Decision-making; Corporate Crime
  “Environmental Pressures: The Pollution Problem” (Reserve Mining)
  L. Cohen, “Chiquita Under the Gun”
  R. Kaplan & D. Kiron, “Accounting Fraud at WorldCom”

Classes 10 & 11 (February 21 & 23): Cultural Influences and Constraints on Responsible Decision-making
  Cases: B. Feder, “Alchemist’s Dream Come True”
  S.P. Sethi, “The World of Wal-Mart”
  C. Duhigg & D. Barboza, “In China, Human Costs Are Built Into an iPad”
  Readings: T. Donaldson, “Values in Tension: Ethics Away from Home”
  P. Krugman, “In Praise of Cheap Labor: Bad Jobs at Bad Wages Are Better than No Jobs At All”
  J. Rawls, “Distributive Justice”
  R. DeGeorge, “Multinationals and Less Developed Countries: Seven Guidelines”

Class 12 (February 28): No Constraints?
  Reading: J. Rosen, “Google’s Gatekeepers”