Purpose and Course Description

That technology affects work is a commonplace - but we accept technology’s impact too readily as inevitable and unchangeable. This 0.5 CU course challenges the mindset of technological determinism and explores what choice points are available to managers implementing new technologies as well as the engineers who design them.

- Module 1: “Technology and Its Impact on Jobs and Skills” considers which technologies deserve the characterization of “revolutionary” due to their capacity to change entire organizations – and even societies — vs. those that only substitute narrowly for past technologies. We’ll examine past anxieties related to automation and evaluate the extent to which the “worst-case” scenarios about employment loss have or have not come to pass – and evaluate claims of “this time it’s different”.

- Module 2: “Intelligent Technologies: How Will They Affect Work and Organizations?” explores how Artificial Intelligence (AI) is changing core “managing people” activities (e.g., hiring and performance appraisal) – plus shifting the locus of management away from human bosses and into algorithms. We’ll examine controversies around “gig work”, i.e., the positives of worker schedule flexibility vs. the negatives of algorithmic control. We’ll also consider the metaverse and its potential for unleashing human creativity and fostering richer and more nuanced virtual communication - as well as providing organizations with new ways to monitor and control.

- Module 3: “Technology and Policy” examines three policy issues. First, how can we inject more ethical criteria into the development of artificial intelligence and algorithms affecting work? Second, what are the pros and cons of “universal basic income”, whose premise is that technological change will soon lead to an unprecedented amount of job elimination? Third, how does “technology make us dumber”? How can we avoid the obsolescence of critical human skills?

Take this course to be ready to manage the strategic and analytic issues involving the design and implementation of technology at work - and for a preview of your own future work life. Requirements include: class participation; in-class quizzes; interviewing someone you know to ask how technology has affected their work life; and the “In Hindsight” group assignment looking back on past scares about a particular technology’s impact on work - and what actually happened.
This course fits into the “Technology/Innovation/Analytics” category of Flex Fundamentals of the new undergraduate curriculum. The topics of this course are highly complementary to topics in other Wharton undergraduate courses in Legal Studies, Management, and OIDD. I hope to attract both students prioritizing the study of organizational/HR topics who want to know more about technology and operations strategies as well as those primarily studying technology and operations who want to understand more about work and employment practices, and the impact on economic and social outcomes for individuals, firms, and societies.

Prerequisites: For Wharton students, it is advantageous to have taken the first-year required Wharton 101 course before taking this elective. Taking the core MGMT 101 class, either before or together with this elective, will also be helpful in understanding how organizations function in their environment and the context within which firms make strategic decisions. The course is open to non-Wharton students. Please email me at mcduffie@wharton.upenn.edu to let me know why you are interested in taking the course.

Course Requirements
Students will be evaluated on class participation (30%), a group assignment (20%), an individual paper (30%) and in-class quizzes (20%)

- The group assignment is due, on Canvas, at 11:59pm on Sunday February 5th.
- The individual paper is due, on Canvas, at 11:59pm on Sunday February 19th.
- Quizzes (5) are distributed across the course (dates below).

Class Participation (30% of your grade)
This course requires a great deal of student involvement. Regular, on-time attendance is the foundation of a strong participation grade. Each class period will include discussion of the topics and issues at hand, both in full (plenary) sessions and in small group breakouts. Students will be graded on the quality of their comments in class, defined as adding substantively to class discussions and linking effectively to others’ comments in the class. (Quantity is also measured but quality is weighted more heavily.) Contributions to discussion can take multiple forms, e.g., speaking up in class after raising one’s hand, responding to a “cold” or “warm” call, or linking to another student’s comment to move the discussion constructively forward. Polls and in-class exercises also count towards participation. I may also set up some discussion boards on Canvas to continue certain conversations from class; posting your contributions there will count as participation based on the same relative weighting of quality over quantity. Across all types, participation constitutes 30% of your grade.

Speaking up in a large group of people, either in-person or online, can be daunting but it is an important skill to learn. So is listening carefully to others while also planning what one wants to say. We can all improve our ability to make meaningful contributions via better listening and getting better at deciding when and how to speak. If you have concerns about your level of participation, please speak to me as early in the course as possible. That will give us time to figure out ways to help you participate more fully – and potentially in more different ways.
Group Assignment (20% of your grade)

In Hindsight is a small-group assignment in which you research a past technology (i.e., not brand-new and/or barely implemented) to report on the hopes and fears accompanying its introduction into the workplace or the economy more generally, and to assess (with the benefit of 20/20 vision looking back) the extent to which those hopes and fears were borne out – and, in addition, what unanticipated surprises occurred, for better or worse. You will be assigned randomly to groups with 2-3 members.

Your report will take the form of a PechaKucha – a format developed to encourage new ways of sharing content and stimulating conversation. PechaKucha’s 20x20 presentation format consists of 20 chosen images, each shown for 20 seconds. In other words, you've got 400 seconds (6 minutes and 40 seconds) to tell your story, with visuals guiding the way. (PechaKucha means "chit chat" in Japanese.) I must approve your choice of technology, via email. Your PechaKucha can be put together quite simply; think of a PowerPoint presentation with timed slide advances and pre-recorded voice-over narration. Ample online resources are available; I will steer you to them. The PechaKucha is due at 11:59pm on Sunday February 5th, posted on Canvas.

Individual Paper (30% of your grade)

You will write an individual paper linked to the first two modules. For this paper, you will interview a relative, friend, or other person that you encounter frequently in your life at Penn, asking about a job that person has had (current or past) which has been significantly affected by technology of some kind (old or new; mechanical or digital; hardware or software; in the workplace or when working remotely). I will provide you with a general interview protocol, tips on how to select an interview subject, and training on how to approach the interview, which should last no less than 30 minutes and no more than 60 minutes. I will also provide guidance on how to structure the paper in which you write up what you learn during this interview. For a top score, you will draw upon the topics, themes, and concepts of the first two course modules in writing up your observations and reflections. This paper is due at 11:59pm on Sunday February 19th, posted on Canvas.

In-class Quizzes – (20% of your grade)

In multiple classes, you will take an in-class quiz on Canvas to assess your absorption and comprehension of the materials assigned for that day (readings, videos, lecture slides). The quizzes will be short (10 minutes at the end of class) and consist entirely of multiple-choice questions; each short quiz will constitute 4% of your final grade for a total of 20%. Quizzes will be given in classes 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10. Scores will be posted on Canvas.

Teaching Assistants

Luis Ayala Gutierrez (W '22) will be the “in-class” TA layala23@wharton.upenn.edu
Grading will be done by a PhD student TBD.
**Required Readings and Media**

Readings include excerpts of articles from professional and academic journals, long-form journalism, short newspaper or web articles, and chapters from books. In addition, video segments (short or portions of longer videos) are assigned for some class sessions.

We will use Canvas for courseware support. The syllabus, course slides, and detailed assignment descriptions will be posted there. The articles, book chapters, and videos in the syllabus can be accessed directly from Canvas.

- The materials accessible for free, due to Penn Library’s subscriptions, are available on the Canvas tab “Course Materials @ Penn Libraries” in alphabetical order by title (not by author or by date assigned).
- Those that must be purchased are available via Study.Net, on a different Canvas tab.
- A few readings are posted at the Files tab in the Readings folder.
- Website links for videos are directly hyperlinked from each assignment (Assignments tab) or are available in a document titled “MGMT 2430 Videos Link” at the Files tab; some are also accessible through the Library tab.
- In the syllabus, course materials are coded by source, e.g. (L) for the Penn Library tab, (S) for the Study.Net tab, and (F) for the Files tab.

For the two books that are excerpted in the course, one by Stephen Barley and one by Cathy O’Neil, you should plan to download PDFs of the relevant chapters after following the library link. The link for the Barley book takes you to the Franklin page where you need to click on the Oxford Open Access button to get to the book chapters. Because Barley has made his book fully available without royalties, I was able to post a PDF of all relevant chapters at the Files tab, Readings folder. For the O’Neil book, you’ll get directly to PDFs for the book chapters. **Note that you are generally reading excerpts of chapters, not all pages.**

You will turn in assignments (individual and team) by uploading your papers to Canvas, and I will return grades and comments to you electronically. Groups for the Pecha Kucha assignment and in-class discussions will be created randomly; you will find your group assignment on Canvas at the “People” tab. Finally, I will create topics for discussion occasionally on Canvas at the Discussions tab; these provide additional opportunities for participation.

**Office Hours**

These meetings are “to be arranged” – just contact me by email. We can meet in person, at my office (3105 SHDH), or on Zoom, accessed via a link on Canvas.

**Academic Integrity**

Please read and familiarize yourself with Penn’s Code of Student Conduct and Code of Academic Integrity: https://catalog.upenn.edu/pennbook/. Regarding academic dishonesty, please note that plagiarism is not limited to copying an entire paper. Using quotes without properly citing them or using ideas without acknowledging their source also constitute plagiarism. Any form of cheating or plagiarism will result in disciplinary action.
Student Disabilities Services and Accommodations for Students with Disabilities
The University of Pennsylvania provides reasonable accommodations to students with disabilities who have self-identified and been approved by the office of Student Disabilities Services (SDS): https://www.vpul.upenn.edu/lrc/sds/. Please make an appointment to meet with me as soon as possible in order to discuss your needs and accommodations. If you have would like to request accommodations or have questions, you can make an appointment by calling (215) 573-9235. The office is located in the Weingarten Learning Resources Center at Stouffer Commons 3702 Spruce Street, Suite 300. All services are confidential.

Other Accommodations
Student athletes, parents and caregivers, and others whose commitments might affect their ability to attend class or complete assignments on time should also speak with me at the beginning of the semester about potential conflicts. You should also speak with me as soon as possible if religious holidays that occur during the semester will require you to miss class. If you unexpectedly experience a life event that presents you with academic difficulties, I can refer you to CaseNet to ensure that you get the support you need: https://www.college.upenn.edu/casenet.

Academic Resources
Penn students are extremely fortunate to have access to an extensive network of academic resources. A majority of Penn students take advantage of one or more of these resources during their college careers, and I strongly encourage you to do so as well. The Office of Learning Resources provides professional consultation services in university relevant skills such as academic reading, writing, study strategies, and time management. PENNCAP supports the success of a diverse group of academically-talented students, many from low-income and first-generation backgrounds. The Tutoring Center offers Penn undergraduate students free, accessible, and convenient options to supplement their academic experience. For more information, visit https://www.upenn.edu/programs/acadsupport.

Additional Writing Resources
The Marks Family Writing Center operates under the assumption that all writers, regardless of their experience and abilities, benefit from informed, individualized, and personal feedback on their writing. The program’s professional staff and trained peer specialists work with writers engaged in any stage of the writing process—from brainstorming paper topics, to formulating and organizing arguments, to developing editing skills. Appointments and drop-in hours are available. For more information, visit http://writing.upenn.edu/critical/wc/. (You will find navigation options when you mouse over “Marks Family Writing Center” on the menu bar.)

Well-Being, Stress Management, & Mental Health
If you (or someone you know) are experiencing personal, academic, or relationship problems and would like someone to talk to, reach out to Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) on campus. For more information about CAPS services, visit: https://www.vpul.upenn.edu/caps/about.php.
Thursday, January 12  
**Session 1: Introduction**

How should we gauge the scale of impact of a new technology on work? Distinguishing *infrastructural* and *substitutive* technological change. The power of ideas: Taylorism, then and now. Policy dilemmas spurred by new technologies. Course design, assignments, participation.

Readings and Media:

**MODULE 1: Technology and Its Impact on Jobs and Skills**

Tuesday, January 17  
**Quiz #1**

**Session 2: What Types of Technology Are We Considering? Why Fear Their Impact?**

New technologies at work are often accompanied by both hopes and fears. Is the latest wave of automation and ubiquitous computing going to free individuals to be more creative at work and able to experience new and more fulfilling jobs? Or will these technologies make work worse – more routinized, less autonomous, less creative – whenever they aren’t actually putting people out of work by eliminating jobs? What expected outcomes of new technologies tend to happen? What unexpected outcomes occur? How can we assess their relative magnitude and impact?

**TECH VIGNETTE: The Luddites**


Was the Luddites’ fight idiotic, ill-informed, ill-advised? Sensible and/or comprehensible? Would you have sided with them or against them? Have you observed or sensed Luddite-like thoughts or actions “closer to home” in time and space? How can past examples of technological innovations and their impact on jobs and skills guide our forecasts for present and future technologies? How does technology’s impact compare with other forces affecting employment?

Readings and Media:
2. Robert Gordon, “The death of innovation, the end of growth”, TED talk, [6:00-12:00] (L)
Thursday, January 19
What makes the latest wave of technologies similar to or different from major technological breakthroughs of earlier eras? How best to assess whether a new technology will simply change how a task or job is accomplished – or when it will spur wide-ranging and long-lasting changes across entire organizations? What can we learn from past workplace automation scares?

Readings and Media:
2. Louis Anslow, “Robots have been about to take all the jobs for more than 200 years,” Timeline.com, May 16, 2016. (L)

Tuesday, January 24 Quiz #2
Session 4: Technology, Skills, and Wages - Skill-Biased vs. Task-Biased Perspectives
A dominant hypothesis in recent decades on the different rates of earnings increase for individuals doing different types of work is skill-biased technological change (SBTC), i.e. that jobs affected by automation that can replace low-skilled workers are fewer in number and lower paid whereas jobs affected by automation that complements higher-skilled workers have grown in number and are higher paid. A competing hypothesis is “task-biased technological change” (TBTC) that shifts the focus to jobs and the skills that they demand. Jobs bundle tasks together and can have varied designs based on different combinations of tasks. Automation rarely affects an entire job, rather it affects tasks within jobs; it may completely replace humans for some tasks while only partially affecting other tasks, requiring a continued, complementary human role. Proponents of TBTC argue that it captures the actual process of automation more accurately than SBTC – plus it highlights choice points for engineers and managers in where and how to automate tasks within the context of a job that combines human and automated inputs.

TECH VIGNETTE: Trucking: Driverless, Automated, etc.

- Why is the automation of this one particular job—the truck driver—so momentous?
- Is the phenomenon of the automation of truck driving an example of skill-biased or task-biased technological change?
- How has technological change influenced difficult-to-automate parts of truck driving?

Readings and Media:
2. David Autor, “The Work of the Future: Shaping Technology and Institutions.” Talk at UBS Center, December 2, 2019 [0:45-19:00] (L)
Thursday, January 26

Session 5: Comparing Scenarios of How Robots Will Affect Jobs and Skills: Past to Future

Depending on their scope of analysis, assumptions and methods, different analysts can draw dramatically different conclusions about how a given technology will affect jobs and skills. We do a deep dive into robots to illuminate these different scenarios and critically evaluate them, drawing on perspectives from this entire module. Of particular interest: sector-wide economic impact of robots for adopters and non-adopters; AI-enabled robots making progress at difficult-to-automate tasks like grasping objects of different sizes; and “cobots” (collaborative robots) that “share” jobs with humans via each doing tasks that fit their capabilities well.

Readings and Media:
2. Lynn Wu, “The Robots Are Coming: Is Your Firm Ready?”, Knowledge@Wharton, June 2021. (L)

MODULE 2: Intelligent Technologies: How Will They Affect Work and Organizations?

Tuesday, January 31       Quiz #3

Session 6: “This Time It’s Different”: What Distinguishes Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) from Past Technologies?

Benedict Evans: “Machine learning lets us find patterns or structures in data that are implicit and probabilistic (hence ‘inferred’) rather than explicit, that previously only people and not computers could find. They address a class of questions that were previously ‘hard for computers and easy for people’, or, perhaps more usefully, ‘hard for people to describe to computers’. [We don’t] yet have a settled sense of quite what machine learning means … for tech companies or the broader economy, how to think structurally about what new things it could enable, what it means for the rest of us, and what important problems it might actually be able to solve.”

TECH VIGNETTE: Wordsmith: “explainer”; real estate; news stories; website (F)

Based on what this technology does well, do you expect professional jobs will disappear, or do you expect they will evolve? If you think some jobs may disappear, consider which jobs are most threatened. If you expect them to evolve, consider precisely in what ways they might do so.

Readings and Media:
Thursday, February 2
Session 7: Flexible Schedules and Micro-Monitoring
New management methods affecting when and how hard we work: How “morning bias” and unpredictable changes undermine advantages of flex schedules. How schedule optimization software adds to the precarity of low-wage work. When “gamification” adds fun and challenge to the work day – and when it doesn’t. How tech-enabled keystroke monitoring and process control undermine the autonomy and outcome control premises of contract work. What it means when robots become part of the monitoring scene.

Readings and Media:

** Group Assignment (PechaKucha) Due at 11:59pm on Sunday February 5th posted on Canvas **

Tuesday, February 7 Quiz #4
Session 8: Gig Economy and Algorithmic Management
How modern labor contracting modes are evolving in relation to changes in corporate governance. Resemblance between the new modes and pre-industrial arrangements, e.g. the “putting-out” system of piecework at home. How trends towards “flexible labor” intersect with digital platforms to yield the “gig economy”. What are the varied motivations of “gig economy” workers? How much is choice and how much is necessity? Why does that matter?

TECH VIGNETTE: Task Rabbit (F)
- Under what circumstances would you choose to become a Tasker?
- Suppose one could develop a great reputation as a Tasker, enough so to reliably earn enough to eat, pay rent, etc. How would this bundle of tasks—performing dozens of different duties for different customers each week—differ from a conventional job?
- Imagine how working as a Tasker has been different during the COVID pandemic – how would you deal with concerns about safety – yours and those of your customers?

Readings and Media:
1. Aurelien Acquier, “Uberization meets Organizational Theory: Platform capitalism and the rebirth of the putting-out system,” in Cambridge Handbook on Law and Regulation of the Sharing Economy, 2018, (excerpt), sections 1 and 2, pp. 5-12) (L)
2. Lindsey Cameron, “‘Making Out’ While Driving: Relational and Efficiency Games in the Gig Economy,” (excerpt), forthcoming in Organization Science, pp. 2-4, 10-15, 17-35. (L)
Thursday, February 9
Session 9: Dilemmas of “Work from Home” -- Performance Appraisal, Onboarding, Feeling Connected with Teammates – and Opportunities of “Work from Anywhere”

While the world has discovered how many knowledge tasks can be undertaken and completed via technology-mediation during “work from home” (including virtual classes!), certain core experiences of being an employee are challenging to re-create without the opportunity for face-to-face social interaction and one-on-one communication. We will consider how to “onboard” new employees in a Zoom world – and how to tackle performance appraisal (a complex process under the best of in-person circumstances) when you can’t direct observe an employee’s work process and have to communicate a nuanced mix of praise and constructive criticism virtually. We will also consider the dilemma of how to keep team members feeling connected with each other – and the problem of loneliness. Finally, we flip to look at the opportunities of virtual work, not just from home but from “anywhere”, i.e. the freedom to live and work where you like.

Readings and Media:

Tuesday, February 14
Quiz #5
Session 10: The Metaverse – Game-Changer at Work or Just Playing Games?

While there is no agreed-upon definition, we can view the metaverse as a “system of systems” combining digital and physical worlds in new ways. While the metaverse has the potential to transform personal pursuits, e.g., gaming, shopping, and adventure travel, it can also change workplaces in powerful ways by enhancing the virtual worklife that many of us have lived in recent years. Proponents of the metaverse say we will be freed from the constraints of physical location while still be able to experience human presence in all of its richness, via avatars that are emotionally expressive, physically communicative, and capable of playful creativity. Skeptics see the potential for new methods of monitoring and control, i.e. further extensions of digital Taylorism. We consider what’s available now and what it portends for the future.

Readings and Media:
1. The Guardian, "Can virtual meeting spaces save us all from Zoom fatigue?", 5/8/21 (L)
2. “Inside Facebook’s Metaverse for Work.” The Verge. 08/19/21. (F)
3. “Mesh for Microsoft Teams aims to make collaboration in the ‘metaverse’ personal and fun.” Microsoft. 11/02/21. (L)
4. WSJ, "Why the Metaverse will Change the Way you Work." 2/7/22 (L)
Thursday, February 16
Session 11: Artificial Intelligence (AI) at Work
Guest Speaker: George Dong, Google/YouTube

Applying Artificial Intelligence (AI) to the fundamental tasks of managing people in organizations (recruitment and selection; on-boarding and training; goal-setting, rewards, promotion, retention) is increasingly common yet questions abound. What’s different about extracting algorithms for decision-making from machine learning where the data are about employees, not product purchases or page views? How to handle concerns about fairness or demands for “explainability?” How do managers react to the promise and peril of AI at work?

George Dong is a Wharton Executive MBA alum (2019). He worked as a Technical Recruiter at Google for two years; he now works in Strategy and Operations at YouTube. Google is well-known for its leadership in “People Analytics” – applying advanced analytics to crucial issues in managing talent (human capital) and work relationships (social capital). He will share his experience and perspective on what Google has learned to do in managing people via analytics – and where they are still exploring and need to make further progress. I will gather questions from you in advance to structure our discussion, plus we’ll have open Q&A.

Readings and Media:
1. Laszlo Bock, Work Rules, Chapter 4, “Searching for the Best,” 2015, pp. 69-86. (L)
2. Prasanna Tambe and Peter Cappelli, “Can Artificial Intelligence Help Answer HR’s Toughest Questions?” Knowledge@Wharton, August 2019. (L)

*** Individual Paper Due at 11:59pm on Sunday February 19th, posted on Canvas ***

MODULE 3: Technology and Policy

Tuesday, February 21
Session 12: Ethics of Algorithms and Artificial Intelligence

TECH VIGNETTE: Amazon Doesn’t Consider the Race of Its Customers: Should It? (L)

When an application of AI has unintended positive or negative consequences for different groups of people, constituting de facto bias or discrimination, who has the responsibility to identify, evaluate, and address (e.g., via more transparency or changes in the algorithm/AI code) those consequences? Consider Amazon’s roll-out of its Same-Day-Prime-Delivery service.

Readings and Materials:

**Thursday, February 23**

**Session 13: Technology-Driven Inequality and Universal Basic Income (UBI)**
The idea that technological change can drive mass unemployment and require governments to subsidize basic living expenses for many of its citizens is not new, arising in each era when automation scares arise. Proponents of such policies also see benefits in unlocking human creative potential when the necessities of life are met and people can pursue fuller self-development when freed from having to do demotivating, low-skill, low-pay work. We will work during class to generate “pro” and “con” presentations on UBI in real time that will then be presented. You will be assigned to “pro” and “con” positions randomly, after arriving in class.

Readings and Media
2. Excerpts from books and articles by: Annie Lowrey, Andy Stern, Charles Murray, Philippe Van Parijs and Yannick Venderborghts, Chris Hughes, Rutger Bregman (L)

**Tuesday, February 28**

**Session 14: When Technology Makes Us Worse – How We Can Make Technology Better**
Technology, when partially displacing human labor, can sometimes create the conditions under which the human skills that are still needed to complement the technology are worsening over time. How should we deal with situations where technology makes us worse? Our tech vignette concerns the automation for flying airplanes, known as “fly by wire”. Nicholas Carr develops a full thesis of how “automation makes us dumb” across a wide array of technological examples.

**TECH VIGNETTE:** Fly-by-Wire on *Airbus 330* vs. problems with *Boeing 737 Max* (F)
1. How is flight safety *enhanced* by this form of automation? In what ways does fly-by-wire *hinder* flight safety? Compare the first video, describing the “fly-by-wire” system for Airbus and the second video, probing the problems with Boeing 737 MAX.
2. An hour and a half into your trans-Atlantic flight, the flight attendant comes on the loudspeaker with bad news. S/he can either announce 1.) “The fly-by-wire system is completely down and will be for the remainder of the flight.” or 2.) “Both the pilot and the co-pilot are unconscious and will be for the remainder of the flight.” As a passenger who enjoys living, which would you prefer?

Readings and Media: