The Wharton School – University of Pennsylvania FNCE 8020 Corporate Governance and Shareholder Activism

Fall 2023 Course Syllabus

(Please note that this syllabus is subject to change over the duration of the course; however, the general structure and content of the course will remain the same.)

Professor

Kevin Kaiser (kaiserk@wharton.upenn.edu)

Teaching Assistants

Junbin Huang (jbhuang@wharton.upenn.edu)
Alex Shah (alexshah@wharton.upenn.edu)

Classroom SHDH 109

FNCE 8020 - M/W at 3:30 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.

Course Description

The aim of the course is to provide an introduction to corporate governance and shareholder activism. The course makes use of lectures and case studies. In Shareholder Activism and Corporate Governance, the idea is that Shareholder Activists identify opportunities to make money by investing in 'underperforming' companies and then become 'active' to alter the strategy/direction/execution in the company to unlock value and then they make money when the share price rises to reflect the improved future prospects. While there are some powerful underlying themes, the shareholder activism course is primarily about governance and valuation and unlocking value in the company.

This year's course has been substantially revised based on previous student's feedback to better spread the workload across the semester and respond to other requests. The lectures expose the students to the institutional and empirical facts as well as approaches followed by leading shareholder activists. The case studies are designed to provide students an experience on identifying potential opportunity for value creation through active engagement. The speaker sessions will provide opportunities to learn from market participants directly and will represent various perspectives including: investment banking support, legal support, corporate executives, and shareholder activists.

An essential element of the course is learning how to estimate the value of the activism target, and how to assess the potential for value creation through alteration of the company strategy, operations, or financial policy. This potential for unlocking value needs to be assessed, and the FNCE 8020 Draft Syllabus

Page 1 of 9

resulting value compared to the existing price to assess the quality of the investment thesis. The course assignments require students to develop and practice skills on fundamental analysis.

Teamwork is an essential part of the course, and participants are encouraged to form diverse teams with the objective of maximizing learning and competing perspectives. In addition to the case assignments, each team will identify and analyze an activism target and prepare a 'fight deck' as well as draft letter(s) to the board/press/institutional investors outlining their recommendations and strategy for effecting change and creating value.

Reading Materials:

- Case materials and lecture notes on course webpage (Canvas)
- Additional background reading materials will be distributed
- Recommended (but not required) background reading:

"Shareholder Empowerment: A New Era in Corporate Governance," by Maria Goranova and Lori Verstegen Ryan

"Corporate Governance Matters: A Closer Look at Organizational Choices and Their Consequences," by David Larcker and Brian Tayan

Format:

The structure of the course includes lectures, case discussions, and guest speakers. The major objective of the case method in finance is to use the logic of financial theory to arrive at sensible conclusions when faced with real world problems. However, one should keep in mind that there is not one absolutely right answer to a case; different assumptions can lead to different solutions. While there are no "right" answers, there are good arguments and bad arguments. The process of this course will help distinguish between sensible and senseless arguments. Considering the professor's analyses as the correct solution defeats the purpose of this course and contributes to the silly notion that in the "real world" there is a single correct recipe to be followed.

Student Responsibilities and Assessment:

1. Case Study Assignments: (50% of the course grade).

Students will organize themselves into groups of three to five members to work on all cases. Groups must be fixed throughout the course. Diverse background within the group is beneficial. Since group work is a cooperative undertaking, students are urged to ensure that they form groups that are able to work effectively as a group. Although brief discussions across different groups are allowed, exchange of analysis and calculations is not. The major objective of the case method in finance is to **use the logic of financial theory to arrive at sensible conclusions** when faced with real world problems. Therefore, demonstration of the key concepts of financial theory is imperative in these cases.

There are 6 case studies in the course. All groups must submit a report for the Corteva – Starboard Value negotiation exercise. There are 5 remaining cases and each group will select for which 2 of those cases they wish to submit a 'long' of 3 pages, double-spaced, plus exhibits

report which will be graded. The reports to be submitted for a grade (will be referred to as 'long' or 'to be graded' reports) will be identified prior to Monday, Sept. 12 and each group must inform Prof. Kaiser and the TAs of their selected cases. For the remaining cases, for which the reports will not be graded, each group must submit a 'short' report demonstrating that they read the case and discussed it among the group.

Summary of Submission and Grading of cases:

- Each and every group will submit a long report (in pdf format, of maximum 3 pages plus exhibits) for Corteva Starboard Value
- Each group must select 2 of the remaining 5 cases for which they will submit a "long report" to be graded (in pdf format, of maximum of 3 pages text plus exhibits)
- Each group must submit a "short report" of maximum 1 page (in pdf format) for the remaining 3 cases for which they did not choose to submit a long report. The short reports will only be graded for "pass" or "fail".

Supporting computations and tables can be described in the text of the report or in an appendix and submitted in Excel format. All of the inputs and formulas used should be provided. Some of the case assignments contain questions that need to be addressed, however you will also be graded based upon how well you identify the relevant questions and issues to be addressed. Therefore, whether there are questions for the case or not, please consider what the relevant questions are in the context of each case and discuss why and then perform the necessary analysis to address these questions. The raw data and relevant information for cases will be made available on the course webpage. A subset of groups may be chosen to lead the discussion by presenting their analysis.

2. Class Participation and Attendance: (15% of the course grade)

A goal of this course is to provide students with the opportunity to learn how to best contribute to discussions about complex financial issues. Therefore, this class depends and thrives on class participation. It is an important and essential part of this course. Because so much learning in this course occurs in the classroom, it is important that you attend every class. Voluntary class participation is encouraged. "Cold calls" will be made as to ensure that the class enjoys the benefit of every student's contribution. Inadequate class participation combined with several absences can lead to a failing grade. Performance will be judged based on the quality of comments/answers. Students are expected to prepare for each case and participate actively in class. To facilitate recording of class participation, please keep the same sitting arrangement throughout the semester.

3. Activism Campaign Analysis ('fight deck'): (30% of the course grade)

Each participant should endeavor to increase her/his awareness of activist events and corporate governance news during this course. In order to ensure participants exercise their own curiosity and pursue and apply knowledge outside of the case studies and lecture/speaker sessions presented in the classroom, each participant is responsible for identifying and analysizing a potential activism target. The summary of this analysis will be a 'fight deck' representing the team's analysis along with a letter to the board summarizing the key points of the team's recommendations and communication. Each group will present their original work to the class.

4. Individual Reflection paper (5% of the course grade)

Learning is an effortful process and I have added this assignment in place of a final exam. The purpose of this exercise is to ensure that each individual devotes some time (a couple hours or more) to reflecting back on this course and trying to recall and deepen your understanding of the many topics and concepts covered. You are expected to submit (along with the group paper) your individual reflections on what you learned during this course and the insights you gained. It is expected to be between 2-5 pages and address the following elements: (1) Summarize and demonstrate understanding of the concepts taught in this course, (2) Summarize the insights you gained, and (3) Describe how these insights will impact you/your behavior going forward.

Please do not be evaluative (e.g., please avoid statements such as "I really loved the session when we talked about..." or "I found the discussion around xxx to be very confusing...")

Simply emphasize your learning by structuring your reflections as, "What I learned was" Grading will be based on the depth and quality of your reflections as reflected in the depth and quality of the learning and insights you share in this report.

Important Note Concerning Group Grading Process:

To provide effective governance and to encourage effective teamwork, grades for groupwork will be allocated according to contribution allocations as assessed by the other members of your group for ALL group members of ALL groups. All group members are required to provide a response to the survey question below after all group work for the course has been completed. The results will apply to group grade allocation across group members for ALL of the groupwork throughout the course,

Survey question to be answered by all students enrolled in the course:

Please provide an allocation out of 100% for the contribution made by the individual members of your group to all group work submitted in this course, including any measure of your own contribution, such that the sum totals 100%.

Each group member's contribution will be assessed as the average of the percentages reported by the other members of the group. For example, if you are in a 5-member group and the other four members of your group gave you contribution allocations of 19%, 20%, 18% and 19%, then your average is 19%.

See the chart below as a sample template:

						Percent allocation
Group member name:	Howard Marks	Marie Curie	Mary O'Connor	Michael Jordan	Tom Brady	(out of 20%)
Howard Marks	20%	22%	22%	19%	21%	21.0%
Marie Curie	21%	18%	22%	19%	21%	20.8%
Mary O'Connor	22%	24%	20%	19%	21%	21.5%
Michael Jordan	18%	16%	18%	24%	19%	17.8%
Tom Brady	19%	20%	18%	19%	18%	19.0%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Your own grade will be the average of the contribution allocated by you as reported by your teammates.

For example, if your 4 teammates gave you contribution allocations of 21%, 22%, 19% and 21%, such as for Marie Curie above, then your average allocation would be 20.8%. In this case, your team grade will be increased by 0.8% of the team average grade. As another example, had your teammates given you contribution allocations of 19%, 20%, 18%, and 19%, such as for Tom Brady above, then your average allocation would be 19%.

Your resulting relative contribution allocation as rated by your teammates will then be used to either add to or deduct from the team's overall grade on the group assignments according to the distance your relative contribution allocation is away from 20% (for groups of 5) or 25% (for groups of 4). Each 1% above/below the mean will result in an addition/deduction of 1% of the group grade. Therefore, for example if your group grade is 18/20 on the group exercises and your relative contribution is rated 21.5% in a team of 5, such as for Mary O'Connor above, so that you are 1.5% above the mean of 20% for relative contribution, then your grade on the group work will be $101.5\% \times 18 = 18.27/20$.

Code of Ethics:

Submitted assignments for grading should be your own or your team's own work only. Most cases in this course involve actual companies. You may use firm specific or market data that are publicly available for your analysis. You cannot, however, use analysis originating/belonging to others e.g. banks, hedge funds. Failure to observe this rule may result in an automatic failing grade for the course.

Office Hours and Contact Information:

Kevin Kaiser:

Office Hours: Tuesdays at hours tbd Email: kaiserk@wharton.upenn.edu

Course Schedule: All dates and names, including due dates of assignments, are subject to change to accommodate speakers

8020 Fall 2023: SHDH 109, 3:30pm-5:00pm

Class # Date	Topic	Assignment	Speaker?
1 Monday, August 28, 2023	Course Intro & Who are Activist Investors?	no assignment today	
2 Wednesday, August 30, 2023	History and context of shareholder activism	no assignment today	
Monday, September 4, 2023	LABOR DAY - No class	No class	
3 Wednesday, September 6, 2023	Introduction to Corporate Governance	no assignment today	
4 Monday, September 11, 2023	Activist Toolkit	Apple: Corp Governance & Carl Icahn	
5 Wednesday, September 13, 2023	The PR side of Activism	Speaker (PR Firm)	Dan McDermott (ICR) - confirmed
6 Monday, September 18, 2023	Activist Toolkit - Valuation and Value Creation	no assignment today	
7 Wednesday, September 20, 2023	Defending against a Shareholder Activist	Speaker (Lawyer - Defense)	Bobby Katz (Jabil) & Sean Donohue (Paul Hastings LLP)
8 Monday, September 25, 2023	Analysing an activist target	United Technologies	
9 Wednesday, September 27, 2023	Legal support for Activists	Speaker (Lawyer - Activist)	Doug Rappaport confirmed
10 Monday, October 2, 2023	Elliott Mgmt and Launching an Activist Fund	Speaker (Investor)	Leo Markel & Daniel Urdaneta
11 Wednesday, October 4, 2023	Investment Banking Support	Speaker	Alejandro Pryzgoda (Jefferies)
12 Monday, October 9, 2023	Speaker - Pershing Square	Speaker	Bill Ackman
Wednesday, October 11, 2023	MBA Core Exams		
Monday, October 16, 2023	MBA Opportunity Week		
Wednesday, October 18, 2023	MBA Opportunity Week		
13 Monday, October 23, 2023	Plan to Unlock Value - Operational Improvement	Speaker & Corteva setup	
14 Wednesday, October 25, 2023	Corteva negotiation	Corteva negotiation in class time	
15 Monday, October 30, 2023	Corteva negotiation debrief	Corteva discussion	
16 Wednesday, November 1, 2023	Operational Improvement	Pershing Square and CP	
17 Monday, November 6, 2023	ESG and Shareholder Value	Engine No. 1 and Exxon	
18 Wednesday, November 8, 2023	Group presentations Fight decks for selected companies		
19 Monday, November 13, 2023	Group presentations	Fight decks for selected companies	
20 Wednesday, November 15, 2023	Speaker - Starboard Value	Starboard Value	Jeff Smith (Starboard Value)
21 Monday, November 20, 2023	Speaker (Chairman of activist target Marvell Tech), 1:45pm-3:15pm JMHH 240	Marvell Tech	Rick Hill (Marvell Tech, Chair) ??
Wednesday, November 22, 2023	THANKSGIVING - no class	No class	
22 Monday, November 27, 2023	Activism in Japan	FANUC/GS Activism defense in Japan	
23 Wednesday, November 29, 2023	Group presentations	Fight decks for selected companies	
24 Monday, December 4, 2023	Presentations & Reflection	Fight decks for selected companies	
25 Wednesday, December 6, 2023	Group presentations	Fight decks for selected companies	

FNCE 8020 Draft Syllabus

Page 6 of 9

List of Readings

- The second wave of hedge fund activism: The importance of reputation, clout, and expertise, by C.N.V. Krishnan, Frank Partnoy, and Randall S. Thomas, *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 40 (2016) 296-314
- Thirty years of shareholder activism: A survey of Empirical Research, by Matthew R. Denes, Jonathan M. Karpoffa, and Victoria B. McWilliams, *Journal of Corporate Finance* 44 (2017) 405-424
- 2022 Survey of Investors, Retirement Savings, and ESG, Rock Center for Corporate Governance, Stanford GSB
- Seven Gaping Holes in Our Knowledge of Corporate Governance, by David F. Larcker and Brian Tayan, Rock Center for Corporate Governance, Stanford GSB, April 2023
- Nine Developments and Trends Shaping US Shareholder Activism in 2023, by Thomas Christopher, Maia Gez, Ty Akkoyun, White and Case, March 2023
- 2022 Global and Regional Trends in Corporate Governance, Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance, February 2022
- Charting the course through a changing governance landscape, PwC's 2022 Annual Corporate Directors Survey
- The product market effects of hedge fund activism, by Hadiye Aslan and Praveen Kumar, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 119 (2016) 226-248
- "Shareholder Empowerment: A New Era in Corporate Governance," by Maria Goranova and Lori Verstegen Ryan
- "Corporate Governance Matters: A Closer Look at Organizational Choices and Their Consequences," by David Larcker and Brian Tayan
- "A Real Look at Real World Corporate Governance," by David Larcker and Brian Tayan
- Kaiser and Young, Managing for Value 2.0, Journal of Applied Corporate Finance,
- Chapters 1 & 2, from *The Blue Line Imperative*, by Kaiser and Young, 2013
- Berk and DeMarzo, "Corporate Finance", Chapter 29, 4th Edition
- Henderson and Rose, "Investor "Short-Termism": Really a Shackle?" (HBS 9-315-084)
- Graham, Kim and Leary, "CEO Power and Board Dynamics," March 2017
- Chapter 12, "Institutional Shareholders and Activist Investors," from Corporate Governance Matters, by Larcker and Tayan
- Gompers, Ishii, Metrick, 2003, "Corporate governance and equity prices," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 107-155
- Bhagat and Bolton, 2008, Corporate governance and firm performance, *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 2008, 257-273
- Bebchuk, Cohen and Ferrell, What Matters in Corporate Governance?, *Review of Financial Studies*, 2008

- Bebchuk, Brav, Jiang, and Keusch, Dancing with Activists, June 2017
- La Porta et al, 2000, Investor protection and corporate governance, Journal of Financial Economics 58, 3-27
- Larcker and Tayan, Where Experts Get It Wrong: Independence vs. Leadership in Corporate Governance, Stanford Closer Look Series, March 2013
- Jensen, Value maximization, stakeholder theory, and the corporate objective function, *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 2002, 235-256
- Bebchuk, Brav, & Jiang, The Long Term Effects of Hedge Fund Activism, *Columbia Law Review*, June 2015
- Becht et. al., Returns to Shareholder Activism: Evidence from a clinical study of the Hermes UK Focus Fund, 2006
- Becht, Franks, Grant and Wagner, Returns to Hedge Fund Activism: An International Study, *Review of Financial Studies*, 2017
- Brav, Jiang and Kim, The Real Effects of Hedge Fund Activism: Productivity, Asset Allocation, and Labor Outcomes, *Review of Financial Studies*, 2015
- Brav, Jiang, Partnoy, and Thomas, Hedge Fund Activism, Corporate Governance and Firm Performance, *Journal of Finance*, August 2008
- Brav, Jiang, and Kim, 2009, Hedge Fund Activism: A Review; Foundations and Trends in Finance 4: 185-246. http://faculty.fuqua.duke.edu/~brav/HF_Activism_Review.pdf
- Brav, Heaton, and Zandberg, "Failed Anti-Activist Legislation: The Curious Case of the Brokaw Act," *Journal of Business, Entrepreneurship and the Law*, 2019
- Entrepreneurial Shareholder Activism: Hedge Funds and Other Private Investors. Klein and Zur (2009)
- Hedge Funds and governance targets: Long-term results. William W. Bratton. Working paper. http://ssrn.com/abstract=1677517
- Artiga González, Tanja, and Paul Calluzzo, "Clustered Shareholder Activism," *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, Vol. 27, Issue 3, pp. 210-225, 2019
- Heaton, John, "Hedge Fund Activism and Financial Performance," *The Oxford Handbook of Hedge Funds* (Douglas Cumming and Geoffrey Wood, eds.), 2019.
- Chapter 19 "Takeover Defenses", in Weston, Mitchell and Mulherin (Takeovers, Restructuring, and Corporate Governance).
- O'Byrne, Measuring and Improving Pay for Performance: Board Oversight of Executive Pay, *The Handbook of Board Governance*, 2016
- The controversy over executive compensation, Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, Nov 2003, 108-111
- Towner and Virani, Insider Activism, University of Arizona, March 2017

- Dealing with Activist Hedge Funds and Other Activist Investors, by Martin Lipton, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance and Financial Regulation, January 2017
- Proxy Contests, by Warren S. DeWied, November 2010, Practical Law
- Tonello, The Activism of Carl Icahn and Bill Ackman, Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance and Financial Regulation, May 2014
- Coffee and Palia, The Wolf at the Door: The Impact of Hedge Fund Activism on Corporate Governance, *The Journal of Corporation Law*, 2016

Cases (non-Wharton)

- Case study: United Technologies: Are the Parts Worth More than the Whole? (HBS N9-220-018)
- Case study: Apple: Corporate Governance and Stock Buyback (Ivey, W14736)
- Case study: Canadian Pacific (A), (B), and (C) (Ivey, W17568, W17569, W17570)
- Case study: FANUC Japan (HBS 9-216-042)