The course and its organization

This memorandum gives some basic information about MGMT 714 and 225 as they will go on in the fall term of 2021 (2021C). A tentative syllabus is presented below and it or a perhaps slightly revised version will be circulated in the opening class. The contents will be open for discussion in that class and via email through the several days following. A finalized syllabus will then be circulated to all enrolled students and posted on the course webpage.

The course will meet in a double-length block on Tuesday afternoons from 3:30 pm to 6:30 pm (sometimes running a bit short, but absolutely ending by 6:30). Versions of the course have in the past been offered to mixed audiences of undergraduates and MBA’s and this will be the format for again 2021C. The two groups seem to mix reasonably easily. Sometimes the mixing is quite productive.

The course seems to work best when we gather in a room together; but discussions remained reasonably lively when all courses went online in the springtime of 2020 and for all of the following year due to the coronavirus. (We had to get used to the software and to using it rather than in effect being used by it; but the point is that this was feasible and it all seems to have worked out reasonably satisfactorily in the end. All it took was some commitment. But we at Wharton are well capable of that.) So we all very much hope that we will be able to go back to meeting together face-to-face in the fall; but fear not should public health developments require that we shift online: the course objectives, materials, and class design can support widespread active engagement, with more or less everyone having an opportunity to speak and contribute in every class session, either way.

This course concerns the evolution of the institutions of American business. There will be some sidelong glances abroad, though these will probably be confined almost entirely to the beginning and the end of the term. In the main body of the course, there will be both big picture and (quite a lot of) industry and firm detail. The focus will be much more on actual business administration than on the grand sweep of American economic development and the changing social context in the background. In terms of the functional courses in the Wharton core
curriculum (accounting, finance, operations, marketing, etc.), the perspective of this course is fairly synthetic: it is about the operation of whole enterprises, then, now, and into the future. Anyone committed to a career in business but curious about history or curious about how developments in those particular aspects of business fit into the long arc of American history should find the course of interest. Those contemplating work for consulting firms, transaction-oriented businesses (investment banking, venture capital, private equity, etc.), or more traditional investment businesses may also find it useful professional background. This is less because of the strictly historical aspect than because the course is at its heart about embedded actors and entrepreneurs confronting changing conditions and emerging opportunity. Students reviewing the syllabus proper below will note that I try hard to use original source material when I can, on the principle that it puts readers closest to a participant’s-eye view. The vividness of the situations and stories entirely aside, there is a good deal of general value to be had by looking, reflecting, and absorbing. It may be worth adding that past students report finding that there is also broad and lasting stimulus in the value creation and value capture perspective. One of this year’s revisions of the course materials has involved expanding the (extensive) study questions to provoke more systematic student reflection along those lines.

The course could be thought of as proceeding in six basic pieces. First comes an introduction to the subject matter, themes, and tools. We then begin to proceed at once chronologically and thematically. The first (and brief) substantive section is a curtain-raiser: it exposes, so to speak, the pre-history, a glimpse at the American economy, centered on our own Philadelphia (then the largest city in what is now the country), prior to the industrial revolution. We then spend three classes on the emergence of an industrial economy as this first materialized. A longer third substantive section concerns the so-called Second Industrial Revolution. (You might think of this as the golden days for both the economy overall and for at least some of the businesses comprising it as well.) This takes us up through the post-WWII years into the 1960s. We then proceed to a section you might think of thematically as “What happened when manufacturing as such began to fade and other sectors began to grow in importance” or “What could possibly go wrong?” (or both). Some material on thinking about firms and their strategies in a positive way will conclude.

This will be the first term in which the course will have only twelve formal everyone-together sessions due to the reorganization of the MBA teaching calendar starting with 2021C. There will be some undergraduate-only meeting times scheduled to supplement those. I expect to come to conclusions over the summer as to what I want to do with them and when it would be best to do that—most undergraduates seem not to have much experience writing papers as long as this course’s term paper and probably in one way or another these sessions will provide a seminar-style opportunity to examine and discuss course-relevant material in a way which will help undergraduates see how to structure and develop such papers. There will be more detail in the version of this syllabus available in late August.

I will unavoidably have to lecture about some of the material in the syllabus; but to the maximum extent feasible I want to run the class sessions in discussion format. I have organized readings and study questions in a way which I hope will support and facilitate this. My hope is that students in the course will play an active role in the classroom. It is more stimulating and much more fun for everyone that way. I am hopeful that we will have enrollment numbers such
that this fully engaged mode will be easy. The Covid crisis and having had to run the course online this past two-and-a-half terms was been unhelpful. But as I noted above, the students did remarkably well in keeping the discussion quality up when we were functioning in the online mode and I imagine we will be able to do that in this term as well come what may. I will speak about this a little in the opening class if needs be and perhaps also in an email just before that sent to everyone who is enrolled at that point.

Readings, memoranda, and important announcements will be posted on a Canvas course webpage accessible to those registered for the course, generally a week before the class in question, and will become accessible on Tuesday evenings at 9 pm. (I will send everyone an email once the registration list is in and the website is up and accessible.) There are no assigned readings for the opening meeting on August 31st or for the final session on December 8th, at the beginning of which term papers are due. The will be some “For fun” readings for the latter (described at the appropriate place in the syllabus below) which are interesting but optional in terms of class prep if your term paper needs the incremental time and attention. (I predict that they won’t seem any less interesting the day, or indeed the week, after.)

In support of enabling lively discussion, I decided during the Covid time that I should make very much more time available for out-of-class one-on-one discussion than has been standard at Wharton. It seemed to be helpful enough that I do not plan to step away from it whether or not we remain online. Students usually seem to do the readings each week during the Friday-through-Sunday period. I will be happy to set up calls in response to email requests over the weekend when feasible. (That shouldn’t be any great problem since I am not planning on going much of anywhere before the New Year at least.) But be that as it may, I will generally be available on reasonable notice via email for discussion of readings, writing issues, broader course issues, and any other matters arising all day on Mondays into the early evening and all morning on Tuesdays. Absolutely please set up a call if it might help you make sense of the upcoming class’s material or how it fits in with material we have previously read or developed in class sessions. Over and above that, at least in the beginning weeks, I think it might be a good idea if everyone would set up a meeting at least once every two or three weeks. We can talk about the course and also get to know one another a little. It might seem a small gesture; but in person or online, I think it might be a good idea. Let’s try it out and see how it goes.

The formal requirements of the course are as follows. Students will be expected to (1) prepare all the assigned readings, (2) write one brief “thought paper” (see below for details) each week prior to class, (3) attend all classes (see below for what this means), (4) participate actively in class discussion, and (5) write a term paper on a mutually acceptable subject (again, see below), due at the beginning of the final class.

The basis for grade assignment will be a 35-65 weighted average of marks for class participation (which will be sensitive to the quality of the thought papers) and on the term paper. The usual curve for MBA courses will be in force if the School insists and not otherwise. If there is no curve for MBA students, then MBA’s and undergraduates alike will be graded strictly on the merits. (Lucky undergraduates in either case!) In the event—I hope an unlikely one—that an individual’s preparation or attendance seem less than responsible, the grade will be adjusted appropriately.
I do understand that emergencies happen sometimes in life. I will make reasonable accommodation on preparation and attendance requirements for specific classes in specific cases. Please be in touch, in advance if at all possible, via raff@wharton.upenn.edu.

“Thought papers” are 1-2 pp. (at the very most 3 pp.) essays responding analytically or critically to some element of the week’s reading. (Comparisons, contrasts, or other relationships between readings—either within one week or between weeks—are also welcome. The main point of the exercise is to provoke systematic thinking about the readings prior to class discussion.) These essays are to be submitted to me via the course webpage by the end of your work day on Monday (which I appreciate may be in the small hours of Tuesday morning). Please note that I will begin reviewing them when I get up (early) on the Tuesday morning.

Writing the thought papers may seem in the abstract like a burden. In many jobs into which Wharton graduates move from here, however, the ability to write a two-page max analytical memo under heavy deadline pressure fluently and without much stress is extremely valuable. Neither our program (nor, in general, the American educational system) gives students much practice at this. It is a very useful skill.

The basic idea for the term paper is that it should be about a course-relevant topic of interest to you but pre-approved by me. The point of the pre-approval requirement is simply to warn you about topics that might look initially appealing but seem, on the basis of my prior experience, likely either not to have enough material to sustain a whole paper or to prove overwhelming once you get down to work. (I want writing the paper to be a good experience in its substance and a manageable one in terms of its time demands.) I have in mind papers roughly 20 pp. in length. I should perhaps say what “roughly” means. In the past, papers shorter than 15 pp. have pretty consistently not seemed to get to grips with their subjects. Papers longer than 25 pp. have generally seemed unedited, though there have been some exceptions (the best of which I would have been happy to show to the Dean or, come to that, the Provost.) I will give more detail about suitable topics and framing in the opening class and will circulate a memo, which we will discuss in class in Week 5, once the course is under way and students have some experience with its perspective.

The University academic integrity rules (see http://www.upenn.edu/academicintegrity/ -- after reading that, follow the “Acknowledge your sources” embedded link) will of course apply for all written work. Please also note the “Electronics in the Classroom Policy” text at https://mba-inside.wharton.upenn.edu/wharton-mba-academic-policies/. (This text definitely means no open phones other than by pre-arrangement in emergency situations. In this particular course, many people will want to be able to consult the readings electronically while we discuss them and that is fine. But the linked policy is clear that there is to be no use of electronics during class for non-educational purposes and I will expect you to honor this.)

In the past I have organized a series of group lunch dates and would in ordinary circumstances in fact very much prefer to have lunch with everyone relatively early on. That may or may not seem wise this term depending on the course of public health conditions. But perhaps, as suggested above, we can take advantage of the plentiful “office hours” time to talk a
bit one-on-one and get to know one another a bit more than usually happens in that setting however that works out.

If questions remain in your mind after reading this memo, by all means please email me at the address given above and ask.

Entirely optional but perhaps useful sources for background on general US history

Students, especially non-US nationals, occasionally ask for a suggested background reading, perhaps for consumption in small installments, covering general US history. Actual course textbooks tend to be overwhelming in their size (they usually resemble cinderblocks) and detail. The Wikipedia article (which more resembles a telegram, or perhaps even a telegraphic version of a telegram) lies at the opposite extreme. (Which is not to say that it isn’t useful as a kind of parachutist’s view if ever you should want one.) In between you might look, if you were curious, for Paul S. Boyer, American History: A Very Short Introduction (Oxford: 2012), certainly available from www.oup.com directly or from Amazon and perhaps from the University bookstore, and, at greater length but with appealing curiosity and massive charm, Alistair Cooke, Alistair Cooke’s America (Knopf, 1973, many times reprinted, also pb Basic Books, 2009), which you would probably need to buy used over the Internet (try www.abebooks.com if you do not have a preferred alternative). (Boyer is a history professor. Cooke was for nearly sixty years the BBC’s American correspondent and may be more familiar as the initial presenter for Masterpiece Theater. [It would take a heart of stone not to add that he is the also only author referred to in this syllabus or course who has a Sesame Street character named after him.])

Daniel Boorstin—for many years a history professor at the University of Chicago and for twelve years the head of the Library of Congress—wrote a series of books under the general heading The Americans in three parts: The Colonial Experience (New York: Random House, 1958) The National Experience (New York: Random House, 1965), and The Democratic Experience (New York: Random House, 1973) which can also be enthusiastically recommended: none of the volumes is short but all are compulsively readable, vivid, and full of acute observations and general stimulus. (You will judge correctly from the continuing participation of Random House in the project that the target audience was general readers and that the books sold very well indeed.) D.W. Meinig takes a different sort of perspective in his remarkable The Shaping of America: A Geographical Perspective on 500 Years of History I. Atlantic America II. Continental America 1800-1867 III. Transcontinental America 1850-1915 IV. Global America 1915-2000 (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1986-2006). These are also worth a look if you should ever find yourself on the fifth floor of the library with a moment to spare.

John Gunther’s Inside U.S.A. (New York: Harper, 1947) is an interesting more granular look at the nation—at a moment in time but with lots of sense of history. Neal Peirce and Michael Barone each have various rather more recent books which do a related but similar sort of thing with more quantitative evidence.
Digging more deeply

After each class, I will distribute via the Course website reading suggestions for those who might want to probe more deeply into issues, institutions, or individuals related to the week’s readings and discussions. (It’s better to do this after the class rather than before so that everyone in the room on the day is operating with more or less the same information base. For this reason, these references do not appear in the list that follows. I would be happy to send a consolidated set to anyone who is interested once the course is done.)
The syllabus proper:

Value Creation and Value Capture in American Business History
MGMT 714/225
2021C
Venue TBA
Version of 20210728

The following is a proposal, not a contract. There will certainly be at least one revision prior to the beginning of term. Please email the instructor if you want to see the most recent version. There will be a final version after consultation with those attending the opening class session (August 31). Study questions will be available with the readings on the course website, week by week, on Tuesday evenings from 9 pm beginning on August 31.

Week 1   Course Introduction

NB: No class on September 7th

Week 2   Pre-industrial America
(September 14)  Main subjects: Place and population. Economic opportunities. Characteristic forms of trade and commercial organization. A group of economic ideas useful for understanding these.
Assigned readings: Michelle Craig MacDonald, “The Early American Economic Landscape”. Benjamin Franklin contract to sell a half interest in his printing business (1748). [If you have a chance, lease look closely at the Ben-on-a-bench statue before reading this.] American Fur Company (i.e. John Jacob Astor dba …) voyageur contract (early 1800s). Correspondence between Mathew Carey, printer of Philadelphia, and his traveling salesman Mason Locke Weems (1796-1809). Excerpts from Commonwealth v. Pullis (the Philadelphia Cordwainers case) (1806).
In-class slide show: Colonial America and colonial and Federal Philadelphia (social and economic)
In-class handout: Documents and subsequent correspondence of Carey,
mainly on his standing-type Bible.

Week 3
(September 21)

Industrial revolution(s) in textiles and the idea of a corporation
Main subjects: Further useful economic ideas. The New England industrialization in textiles in Rhode Island and Massachusetts from an entrepreneurial and managerial perspective. Philadelphia firm practice and trade organization story as an alternative approach.


In-class slide show: Textile technology, the New England landscape, the New England industrialization, and some human resources history of Lowell

In-class handouts: Table from Eric Hilts, “When Did Ownership Separate from Control?”. Various observations on the organization of the Philadelphia trade at mid-century sourced from Philip Scranton’s Proprietary Capitalism. [If you should go to the flicks at the Ritz at the Bourse the weekend before, assuming anyone will be going to the flicks anytime soon, pause to eyeball the Bourse building across the street just north of the Ritz before or after going in.]

Week 4
(September 21)

More on corporations and some (good) on railroads
Main subjects: Delaware goes Whaling. Development of a national transportation and communications infrastructure. The coming of the railroads. The challenges of running one effectively.

Assigned readings: Materials on Delaware Whaling and incorporation. Albert Fink, “Classification of Operating Expenses” from the Annual Report of the Louisville and Nashville Railroad for 1874. Edgar Thompson, “Pennsylvania Railroad 1857 Report”. [Should you pass through 30th Street Station before this class, take a moment to look at the sculptural panels in the large foyer to the north of the lobby (on the way, e.g. to the bathrooms).]

Assigned video: “Moby Dick” YouTubes. (see the week’s Study Questions for links).

In-class slide shows: The coming of the railroads.

Week 5
(September 28)

Railroad shenanigans and shenanigans more broadly
Main subjects: How to think about term paper subjects. Fink carried over
if necessary. The Erie wars. Building the Union Pacific Railroad. The received wisdom and the actual rise of Rockefeller. Rockefeller as a prototypical Robber Baron and his adventures with the muckrakers.


**NB: This week’s readings will take more time than usual—plan ahead!**

In-class handouts: Further (much briefer) excerpts from Ida Tarbell, *History of the Standard Oil Company* (1904)

---

**Week 6**  
(October 5)  
**Basics of the Second Industrial Revolution**  
**Main subjects:** The canonical Second Industrial Revolution in manufacturing (via Carnegie) and distribution (via Marshall Fields)


In-class slide-show: Oil and Rockefeller in a second light

**NB: No class for MBA students on October 13 or October 19 due to the new MBA calendar. Undergraduates will meet on one of the two days, which one tba (I hope by the time of the opening class—for now, please keep both available). That class will cover the colorful and thought-provoking Week 7 material described below. MBA students may enjoy reading the material—it is not particularly lengthy and both colorful and thought-provoking—in some snatched moment. (I will of course be happy to respond to provoked thoughts via email or phone.)**

**Week 7**  
(October 13 or 19)  
**The SIR inside the firm and inside industries**  
**Main subjects:** Significance of SIR for organization of production and workplace relations

Assigned readings: David Montgomery “The Foreman’s Brains Are Under the Workman’s Cap,” from his *Fall of the House of Labor.* Congressional testimony from the hearings on the Homestead strike. Frederick Taylor excerpts from *Shop Management* (1903) and related sources.

Assigned video: “Steel: From Start to Finish” YouTube video.

In-class video: Possibly “The “River Ran Red”

**Week 8**  
**The SIR embedded**
(October 26) Main subjects: Some political context for the developing regulation of competitive behavior. Legal and financial contexts for business development (including corporate bankruptcy in late nineteenth-century America, also developments in the legal status of corporations). What became of the SIR and some brief consideration of the forms of innovation and the sources of consequent productivity growth.


Week 9 More of the same (SIR) or something different?


In-class handouts: Statistics on the Great Merger Movement.
In-class slide show: Making cars at Ford in the coming of mass production

Week 10 The Twenties as a Time
(November 9) Main subjects: Welfare capitalism at Ford and in retrospect from the end of the 20s. Longer-term perspective on structural change in the economy. Change in available technology and infrastructure. Human resource management as industrial practice. The second coming of General Motors and innovations in management as such. Innovations in distribution. Boom times (including in the financial services industries).

Kenneth Galbraith, *The Great Crash*. NB: This week’s readings will take more time than usual—plan ahead!

In-class slide shows: Making cars (and making men) at Ford and the Book-of-the-Month Club reconsidered

In-class handouts: The *Wall Street Journal* editorial page on the Five-Dollar Day, excerpts from Paul David and Gavin Wright, "Early Twentieth Century Productivity Growth Dynamics: An Inquiry into the Economic History of “Our Ignorance”", early ASCAP contracts (the original ASCAP contract [with a Broadway restaurant in New York City] and the 1932 ASCAP contract with the NBC radio network) and the Further Adventures of Taylor Swift.

**Week 11  Crashes, Contexts, and Creation both in the Large and in the Small**

(October 16)

Main subjects: 1920s topics carried over if required. Changes in finance and firm-level organization and incentives as of ca. 1930. The Great Depression, contractionary dynamics, and contractions as potential evolutionary events. (In this light, the influenza epidemic of 1918 and the coronavirus epidemic of 2020 if it still seems worth discussion time.) The New Deal and Business as a general matter. Bleak times as good times for innovators. Changed conditions on the labor management front.


In-class handouts: Tables and Figures from Timothy Bresnahan and Daniel Raff, “Intra-Industry Heterogeneity and the Great Depression” (*Journal of Economic History* (1992) and “Technological Heterogeneity” ms., perhaps others.

NB: No class on November 23 (Thanksgiving break)

**Week 12  The Long Boom and some subsequent developments and some**

(December 1)

immediately subsequent developments (the latter in the vein of “What Could Possibly Go Wrong?”)

Main subjects: The war as mobilization and as environment. Labor relations post-war. The triumph of Keynesian and the fruits of a small import sector. The Conglomeration movement and the birth of a market for corporate control. Subsequent opportunities, who seized them, and how that went wrong. Structural change in the economy. Some things that went very right and other things that could and sometimes did go wrong as well.

Assigned readings: Brief excerpts from the 1948 GM-UAW Master
Contract and an article on the Toledo plan from *Fortune*.


**Week 13 (December 8)**

Further on finance, innovation, what became of Borders, Amazon and anti-trust in the digital age, and a wrap-up.

Main subjects: Loose ends from the previous session if any. The birth of venture capital and Silicon Valley (and tech more broadly) as we know it. Evolution of the innovation system and what became of the rents. Anti-trust in the digital age.

Assigned readings: None. (You’ll have been finishing your term paper. Let’s be realistic.)

For fun readings (if and only if you have the time [or when you have the time if you are interested]): Excerpts from the Congressional debates on the Sherman and Clayton Anti-Trust Acts. Amazon 1997 letter to shareholders. Excerpts from the Amazon, Apple, Facebook, and Google documents in evidence for the House Judiciary Committee on “Online Platforms and Market Power” of July 29, 2020.

**NB: Term paper due in class as of the beginning of class.**

**********