I. OVERVIEW

You may want to start a new venture. You may find yourself starting a new venture in the future. You may find yourself working for an entrepreneur, funding entrepreneurs, or having to work with them. Or you may simply want to be more entrepreneurial in your own career.

The purpose of Management 801 is to be helpful to you under any of these circumstances with a whirlwind tour of the many dimensions of new venture creation and growth. We will be concerned with issues that relate to conceptualizing, developing and managing successful new ventures.

As the entry level course in Entrepreneurial Management, MGMT 801 also serves a gateway for other courses that will allow you to further pursue your interests in specific entrepreneurship domains (e.g. venture capital investment) through Wharton Entrepreneurship.

The class is highly experiential and hands-on, with sessions designed to familiarize you with the many dimensions of entrepreneurship and new venture development. Most of the examples we discuss in class will relate to venture formation, but the underlying principles will also apply to entrepreneurship in corporate settings and to social entrepreneurship.

II. PROCEDURES

In our second session together, I will randomly assign you to a venture team with whom you’ll work with for the rest of the class. Together, you will generate a business idea, complete well-researched market and competitive analyses, identify and test key assumptions, and ultimately pitch your idea in our end-of-class pitch competition.

All assignments will be group-based, with a peer-evaluation adjustment to account for the variable effort put forward by each member. Therefore, individual grades are likely to vary within each team.

If you are working on a venture of your own, I will help in any way I can, but you won’t be able to pursue the idea through the class. That said, the material we cover will be directly applicable and I’m happy to schedule time to chat, bounce ideas, or whatever else would be useful.

III. ASSIGNMENTS and GRADING
**Who cares, and why? (25%) (~5 pages, single spaced text + data appendixes)**

For the first assignment, I want you to convince me that your venture is worth pursuing, or detail what needs to happen before you can make this determination. To this end, you should discuss:

1. Your value proposition, and why you want to pursue this opportunity (*i.e.*, *why you care about your idea*)
2. Your beachhead market, and market validation (*i.e.*, *why others will care about your idea*)
3. Evidence that your idea can be a business (*i.e.*, *market size, comp. adv., and unit economics*)
4. Whether or not the idea is worth pursuing based on current evidence (*i.e.*, *are you ready to progress to the next stage of development, or do you need to pivot, gather more data, etc.?*)

**Assumption Testing (25%) (~5 pages, single-spaced text + data appendixes)**

For teams that are still trying to figure out “who cares, and why,” this will be a continuation of the first assignment: keep gathering data and interrogating your foundational assumptions! Other teams will progress to hypothesis testing, and report on:

1. Assumptions in your business model, and which ones you will prioritize for testing.
2. Your testing approach, and why it is well-suited to your chosen assumption(s).
3. Results of your tests—including relevant data—and what these imply for your venture.
4. Your analysis of what comes next (*i.e.*, *your testing plan going forward*)

**Annotated Pitch Deck (25%) (12-15 well-annotated slides)**

Each team will produce a 10-15 slide annotated pitch deck. This will include all of the materials that are typically included in a pitch deck, along with extensive notes (*i.e.*, annotations) that detail the evidence supporting the points on each slide.

Feel free to use the same deck for this assignment and for your in-class pitch, but be sure to add a full financial workup as well as a summary slide that details your assessment of the venture's realistic potential.

*(Note: It is 100% fine to arrive at the conclusion that your venture is not worth pursuing, if this is what the data is telling you. You will be graded on the quality of your analysis and decision-making, not on your venture’s success prospects.)*

**Peer evaluation**

Individual grades on the group assignments will be weighted based on peer review. You will be asked to rate the contribution of each member of your team by assigning a percentage score that reflects their effort. Therefore, individual grades will likely vary among team members.
The online format has some drawbacks when it comes to participation, but also some benefits. There will be multiple ways for you to participate in class, and most of these will be tracked automatically. Here are the main areas where you can accumulate participation points:

1. Attendance
2. In-class participation (i.e., questions/comments asked through chat or verbally, participating in live polls)
3. Your team’s performance in the Business Model Innovation and Final Pitch competitions
4. The quality of the assessments that you provide in activities with a peer-eval component
5. The output that your group generates through in-class activities
6. “Office hours” chats
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Deliverables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>09/01</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Intro + Doing something that matters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>09/03</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Idea generation lab</td>
<td>Initial venture idea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>09/08</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>An evidence-based approach 1: Opportunity analysis</td>
<td>List of desired contacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>09/10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>An evidence-based approach 2: Interviews and research</td>
<td>LinkedIn Jeopardy results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>09/15</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Business models 1: Building your model</td>
<td>Preliminary business model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>09/17</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Business models 2: Model Innovation</td>
<td>Who cares and why? (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-Time to work on model innovation (30 min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>09/22</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Business models 2: Model Innovation Competition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>09/24</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Entrepreneurial Strategy 1: A data-driven approach</td>
<td>Key assumption list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>09/29</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Entrepreneurial Strategy 2: MVP Tests</td>
<td>Preliminary testing approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10/01</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Resources: People, partners, and money</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10/06</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Effective pitching: Strategies and delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10/08</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Venturing when the world is on fire: Guest Panel</td>
<td>Assumption Testing Assignment (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10/13</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Pitch competition: Round 1</td>
<td>Pitch peer-evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10/15</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Pitch competition: The finals!</td>
<td>Annotated Pitch Deck (25%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
READING LIST

Readings for each session are divided into two sections:

(1) **practical resources** to help you develop your venture and execute class assignments: **plan to use these.**
(2) **academic papers** that give insight into foundational concepts: **engage according to your level of interest. All available from Prof. Wry.**

Session 1: Intro + Doing something that matters (+ social impact)

**Practical Resources**

**Pursuing a social mission** *(if this applies to your venture):*


**Academic Evidence**

**Social mission pursuit and efficacy:**


Session 2: Idea generation lab (+ team formation)

**Practical Resources**

Founders’ agreement framework – Penn Law *(link on Canvas)*

Entity choice – Penn Law *(link on Canvas)*

**Academic Evidence**

**Founding team characteristics:**


**Importance of a founders’ agreement:**


Session 3: An evidence-based approach 1: Opportunity analysis

**Practical Resources**

Archival research:

“Course Library Resource Guide” *(link on Canvas... left side navigation bar)*
Session 4: An evidence-based approach 2: Interviews and research

**Practical Resources**

How to do customer / industry-insider interviews:

“Customer discovery and validation for entrepreneurs.” by F. Cespedes, T. Eisenmann, S. Blank. HBS note 9812-097


Sessions 5+6: Business models and model Innovation

**Practical Resources**

Building your business model:


**Academic Evidence**

Types of business models:


Business model innovation:


“Measuring business model innovation: conceptualization, scale development, and proof of

Sessions 8+9: Data and MVP tests

**Practical Resources**


“The Lean Startup: How Today’s Entrepreneurs use Continuous Innovation to Create Radically Successful Businesses” by E. Ries ([recommended, not required](#))

**Academic Evidence**

The value of hypothesis testing:


Failure and Resilience:


**Session 10: Resources: People, partners, and money**

**Practical Resources**

**Advisors, Contractors, and Tech Talent:**
- Advisor agreement template – *(link on Canvas)* [https://fi.co/fast](https://fi.co/fast)
- Non-disclosure agreement template – *(link on Canvas)*
- Independent contractor agreement template – *(link on Canvas)*

“Please, Please, Please Stop asking how to Find a Technical Co-Founder.” by humbledMBA – *(link on Canvas)* [http://humbledmba.com/please-please-please-stop-asking-how-to-find](http://humbledmba.com/please-please-please-stop-asking-how-to-find)


**Fundraising:**

“The Art of Startup Fundraising: Pitching Investors, Negotiating the Deal, and Everything Else Entrepreneurs Need to Know” by A. Cremandes *(recommended, not required)*


---

**Session 11: Effective pitching: Strategies and delivery**

**Practical Resources**


**Academic Evidence**


“We ask men to win and women not to lose: Closing the gender gap in startup funding.” by D. Kanze et al., *Academy of Management Journal*, 2018.