ACCT930: Empirical Design in Accounting Research  
Spring 2024  

University of Pennsylvania  
The Wharton School  
Department of Accounting  

Instructors: Prof. Daniel Taylor  

Location: Class — Friday 12p-3p, SHDH 217  
Office hours — by appointment  
Zoom Meeting ID: 952 8785 9336  Pass: acct270  

Course objectives: This course focuses on holistic research design. The objective of the course is for students to learn how to design a set of rigorous empirical tests for a given research question and set of hypotheses. The first part of the course reviews a variety of econometric methods (difference-in-differences, matching, regression discontinuity) and research design choices (e.g., measurement error, fixed effects, levels v. changes). By the end of the first part of the course, students are expected to know the key concepts underlying each method and understand the econometric consequences of various research design choices. The second part of the course is an application to existing research topics within the accounting literature. In the second part of the course, students will be given papers and tasked with critiquing and extending the research design.  

By the end of class, students should have a grasp on the tools used in modern empirical analysis, be able to critically evaluate a study’s research design, and be able to develop a multi-facetted set of tests for a given research question. The course is intended for students with exposure to the academic accounting literature with a reasonable grasp of statistical inference and regression. Students should have already taken the Empirical Accounting Seminar (or be concurrently enrolled) and knowledge of statistics and econometrics equivalent to advanced undergraduate courses is presumed.  

Textbook: Any conversation on empirical design is heavily shaped both by one’s knowledge of econometric methods, and by one’s philosophical approach to empirical work. The course and associated discussion will rely heavily on the material in the following sources, each of which I consider a “must read” for empirical researchers in accounting.  

J. Angrist; J. Pischke; 2009. *Mostly Harmless Econometrics: An Empiricist’s Companion*  
M. Roberts; T. Whited; *Endogeneity in Empirical Corporate Finance*. *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*.  

Todd Gormley’s notes also offer an excellent synopsis of the technical details of the methods that we will see [http://www.gormley.info/phd-notes.html](http://www.gormley.info/phd-notes.html). If you have not already seen some of the
more advanced statistical methods (e.g., regression discontinuity, IV, difference-in-differences), I suggest reviewing his slides and following up with Angrist and Pischke or Roberts and Whited.

Of course, to do meaningful empirical work, methods are not sufficient. One also needs theory and an appropriate empirical setting. Theory and setting are two main ingredients to empirical work that you will not see discussed in econometric texts that deal with theoretical properties of estimators. These topics are often field specific, and shape how one approaches empirical work. I have written up my philosophical approach to empirical work that discusses these issues within the context of accounting research:


You can replicate 99% of my current thinking by reading and understanding that survey paper. It was compiled from my lecture notes for this class.

Grading: Timely and accurate completion of assignments is REQUIRED for a passing grade. Grades are a function of class participation and weekly assignments (35%), mid-term project (30%), and capstone project (35%). Failure to submit assignments on time and/or failure to participate will be reflected heavily in the final grade.

Participation: As class will largely consists of a discussion of the required reading, the success or failure of this class will largely be determined by the extent of student participation.

Weekly Assignments: Weekly assignments are due 9am the day of class, unless otherwise specified. Assignments will be discussed in class. These assignments may include answering a few brief questions pertaining to the assigned reading. Student will be expected to present their work to the class. No team work.

Mid-Term: The mid-term for the class will be a take-home empirical exercise with data provided by the instructor. Students will be expected to develop and estimate a research design to test a hypothesis provided by the instructor using (only) the data provided. No team work.

Capstone: The final project for the class will be a take-home multi-part empirical exercise. Students may work in pairs.

Schedule

Below are some topics and papers that I would like us to discuss. Please complete the “Week 1” assignment on Canvas prior to the first class. There is a lot to read. I encourage you to focus exclusively on the indicated sections, and focus on identifying each paper’s research question; research setting; the method(s) it applies to answer that question; and the assumptions of the method(s) that were applied. Given our focus on design/methodology, all other details (i.e., motivation, literature review, specific findings, etc) are secondary. Conversations require participation by all involved.
PART I: REVIEW OF METHODS

1/19 (Week 1) Review of OLS and Measurement Error

Review of OLS
M. Roberts; T. Whited; Endogeneity in Empirical Corporate Finance. Handbook of the Economics of Finance. Sections 2.1

Optional OLS Background
Stock and Watson Ch4, 5.2-5.10, Ch7, Ch15

1/26 (Week 2) Violations of OLS Independence Assumptions


Optional Background

2/2 (Week 3) Instrumental Variables and Matching: Separating Substance from Hype

Instrumental Variables
M. Roberts; T. Whited; Endogeneity in Empirical Corporate Finance. Handbook of the Economics of Finance. Section 3.
D. Larcker; T. Rusticus; 2010. On the Use of Instrumental Variables in Accounting Research. Journal of Accounting and Economics. SKIM

Matching
J. Shipman; Q. Swanquist; R. Whited; 2017. Propensity Score Matching in Accounting Research. The Accounting Review.
C. Armstrong; A. Jagolinzer; D. Larcker; 2010. Chief Executive Officer Equity Incentives and Accounting Irregularities. Journal of Accounting Research. SKIM
2/9 (Week 4) Difference-in-Differences Designs: Separating Substance from Hype


W. Guay; D. Samuels; D. Taylor; 2016. Guiding through the fog: Financial statement complexity and voluntary disclosure. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. Section 3.3

D. Samuels; D. Taylor; R.Verrecchia; 2019. The Economics of Public Scrutiny and Financial Misreporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. Section 5.5


Optional Background
Stock and Watson 5.1, 5.11-5.13, Ch 8, 11.3 / Angrist and Pischke Ch 5.

2/16 (Week 5) Regression Discontinuity: Uses and Abuses

D. Lee; T. Lemieux; 2010. Regression Discontinuity Designs in Economics. *Journal of Economic Literature*. ALL

C. Armstrong; S. Huang; D. Taylor; 2014. Institutional ownership and reporting quality. Distinguishing Selection from Monitoring. *working paper*. SKIM [on canvas]

V. Cunat; M. Gine; M. Guadalupe; 2012. The Vote is Cast: The Effect of Corporate Governance on Firm Value. *Journal of Finance*. SKIM


PART II: APPLICATION OF METHODS

2/23 (Week 6) Thinking Carefully About Exogenous Shocks I—What v. Why?


Background:
K. Balakrishnan; M. Billings; B. Kelly; A. Ljungqvist; 2014. Shaping liquidity: On the causal effects of voluntary disclosure. Journal of Finance. Intro only

3/1 (Week 7) Thinking Carefully About Exogenous Shocks II—Theory Assumptions


M. Kearney; P. Levine; 2015. Media Influences on Social Outcomes: The Impact of MTV’s 16 and Pregnant on Teen Childbearing. American Economic Review. SKIM

3/8 NO CLASS (Spring Break).

MIDTERM DUE 3/13 @ 9AM

3/15 (Week 8) Review midterm assignment & Students presentations

3/22 (Week 9): Identification is About More than Just a Regression Specification

Student presentation of papers. Assignment on Canvas

3/29 (Week 10) Vocational Skills—Reviewing

Relaxed Discussion of Vocational Issues, Bring Questions
Alex Edmans: Purpose of a Finance Professor
Michael Weisbach: *The Economists’ Craft* (Chapter 11)
Robert Bloomfield: *How to be a Good [Accounting] Professor* (Chapter 6)
Berk, Harvey, Hirshleifer: *Preparing a Referee Report*
Berk, Harvey, Hirshleifer: *How to Write an Effective Referee Report*

**AKST paper:** AKST: RAST reports, TAR reports, JAR report
**LT paper:** LT: JAE report, TAR reports, RAST report, RFS reports

4/5 (Week 11) Writing

Robert Bloomfield: *How to be a Good [Accounting] Professor* (Chapter 4)
Michael Weisbach: *The Economists’ Craft* (Part 2)
J. Shapiro: *Four Steps to an Applied Micro Paper*

4/12 (Week 12) Contemporary Topics in Accounting Research

Student presentation of papers. Assignment on Canvas

4/19 (Week 13): Credibility Revolution? We Aren’t Doing Classical Statistics


Student presentation of papers. Assignment on Canvas

4/26 (Week 14) Capstone project due. Review Capstone Project.

**PROJECT DUE 4/26 @ 9AM**